

**SEMIANALYTIC COMPUTER SIMULATION  
OF  
DIGITAL COMMUNICATION SYSTEMS**

by

**Mustafa Aziz Altinkaya**

**B.S. in E.E. , Boğaziçi University , 1987**

**Submitted to the Institute for Graduate Studies in  
Science and Engineering in partial fulfillment of  
the requirements for the degree of  
Master of Science  
in  
Electrical and Electronic Engineering**

Bogazici University Library



39001100133696

14

**Boğaziçi University**

**1990**


## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am very grateful to my thesis supervisor Prof. Dr. Bülent Sankur for his continuous support, encouragement and contributive suggestions during the development of this thesis without which it would never have been possible for me to finish this thesis.

I am also grateful to Prof. Dr. Erdal Panayırıcı and Doç. Dr. Ali Rıza Kaylan for being the Committee Members.

I would like to express my special thanks to my friend Cüneyt Mert for typing parts of the thesis.

I would also like to thank to my friends at TÜBİTAK and BU-Electrical Engineering Department for being kind and helpful.



## ABSTRACT

Digital communication systems are subject to various realization imperfections and outer disturbances. The effect of such problems on the systems performance are difficult to solve using only analytical techniques. So, computer simulation becomes an invaluable aid.

In this thesis, for digital communication system simulations a software package SSNDC-Semianalytic Simulator of Nonlinear Digital Channels- has been developed. This software is capable of simulating coherent phase shift keying systems with linear or nonlinear channels in an additive white Gaussian noise environment. All the signals and filters are complex lowpass equivalents of their bandpass counterparts. First a noise-free simulation with a short information sequence is performed and then the effect of additive noise is analytically added. This method together with complex lowpass representation of signals results in much faster simulations than the standard Monte Carlo method.

The program has a modular structure presenting convenience for future modifications. The input data preparation is arranged in the form of menu-driven interactions.

The mathematical theory for the development of the modules of the software is included.

Finally, the results of the simulation as well as their comparison with theoretical solutions or their counterparts in literature are presented.

## ÖZET

Sayısal iletişim dizgelerinin başarımı, ideal olmayan gerçekleştirilme ve dış etkenler yüzünden, kuramsal en iyi başarımdan farklılaşma gösterir. Bu farklılaşmanın niceliğinin yalnız analitik yöntemlerle hesaplanması çoğu kez zordur. Bu problemlerin bilgisayar benzetimi ile çözülmesi değerli bir seçenek oluşturmaktadır.

Bu tezde, sayısal iletişim dizgelerinin benzetimini gerçekleştirmek üzere SSNDC (Yarı-Analitik Doğrusal Olmayan Kanallar Benzetimcisi) adında bir yazılım paketi geliştirilmiştir. Bu paket evre uyumlu evre kaydırma anahtarlama (CPSK) dizgelerin, doğrusal ve doğrusal olmayan kanallarda, eklenen beyaz Gauss gürültüsü ortamında başarımlarını benzetim yoluyla hesaplamaktadır. Bütün imler ve süzgeçler bant geçiren tasarımlarının karmaşık alçak geçiren eşlenikleri olarak oluşturulmuştur. Önce kısa bir bilgi dizisi ile gürültüsüz ortamda benzetim gerçekleştirilmektedir. Sonra gürültünün başarımlar üzerindeki etkisi analitik olarak eklenmektedir. Bu yöntem, imlerin karmaşık alçak geçiren gösterimleri ile birlikte ölçün Monte Carlo yönteminden çok daha hızlı benzetimleri olanaklı kılmaktadır.

Geliştirilen yazılım, ilerideki değişikliklere olanak tanımak üzere modüler bir yapıda oluşturulmuştur. Girdiler menülerle etkileşimli olarak hazırlanmaktadır. Modüllerin oluşturulması için gereken matematiksel çıkarımlar kapsanmıştır.

Son bölümde, benzetimle elde edilen sonuçların kuramsal sonuçlarla ve/veya ilgili teknik yayınlarla karşılaştırılmaları yapılmıştır.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>page</u>
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	III
ABSTRACT	IV
ÖZET	V
LIST OF FIGURES	IX
LIST OF SYMBOLS	XIII
I. INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.1 General .....	1
1.2 Thesis Outline.....	2
II. COMPLEX LOW PASS REPRESENTATION OF BANDPASS SIGNALS .....	4
2.1 Complex Envelopes Of Some Modulated Waves .....	7
III. PERFORMANCE DEGRADATIONS DUE TO REALIZATION IMPERFECTIONS .....	8
3.1 Phase And Amplitude Imbalances In BPSK .....	9
3.2 Phase And Amplitude Imbalances In QPSK .....	11
3.3 Power Loss Due To Filtering The Modulated Signal ....	15
3.4 Phase Offset Of The Carrier Recovery Circuitry .....	16
3.5 Nonideal Detection Filter .....	17
3.6 Predetection Filtering .....	19
3.7 Bit Synchronizer Timing Error .....	20

IV.	IMPERFECT LINEAR CHANNELS .....	22
	4.1 Linear Distortion Models Of SSNDC .....	26
	4.1.1 Linear Amplitude Distortion .....	26
	4.1.2 Parabolic Amplitude Distortion .....	26
	4.1.3 Ripple Amplitude Distortion .....	27
	4.1.4 Linear Group Delay .....	29
	4.1.5 Parabolic Group Delay .....	29
	4.1.6 Ripple Group Delay .....	30
	4.2 Linear Filters Of SSNDC	
	4.2.1 Butterworth Filters .....	32
	4.2.3 Chebychev Filters .....	33
V.	INTERFERENCE INTO DIGITAL SIGNALS .....	36
	5.1 General Formulation, CPSK .....	36
	5.2 Numerical Methods For Interference Calculations ....	40
	5.2.1 Series Methods .....	41
	5.2.2 Gaussian Quadrature Rules .....	41
	5.2.3 Direct Averaging Methods .....	42
	5.3 Bounding Approaches .....	42
	5.3.1 Chernoff Bound .....	42
	5.3.2 Moment Space Bounds .....	42
VI.	NONLINEAR CHANNELS .....	43
	6.1 Modelling Of Nonlinearities In Simulations .....	43
	6.2 Nonlinearity Models .....	45
	6.2.1 Bandpass Limiters .....	45
	6.2.2 TWT Amplifiers .....	46
	6.3 Volterra Series Representation Of Nonlinearities ....	48

VII.	TECHNIQUES OF BER ESTIMATION .....	52
	7.1 Monte Carlo Method .....	54
	7.2 Importance Sampling .....	56
	7.3 Hybrid Simulation/Analysis .....	56
VIII.	SOFTWARE SSNDC .....	59
	8.1 Linear System Simulations .....	59
	8.2 Nonlinear System Simulations .....	61
	8.3 System Configurator Of SSNDC .....	62
IX.	RESULTS OBTAINED BY SSNDC .....	65
	9.1 General .....	65
	9.2 Figures .....	67
X.	CONCLUSION .....	74
	10.1 Suggestions For Future Work .....	75
	APPENDIX A : OBTAINING THE MOMENTS OF INTERFERENCE .....	77
	APPENDIX B : OBTAINING THE QUADRATURE RULES FROM THE MOMENTS .....	79
	APPENDIX C : PROGRAM LISTING OF SSNDC .....	81
	BIBLIOGRAPHY .....	141

## LIST OF FIGURES

	<u>page</u>
FIGURE 2.1 Spectrum Of A Bandpass Signal And Its Analytic And Complex Versions	5
FIGURE 3.1 Model Of Nonideal Communication System	8
FIGURE 3.2 Degradation Due To Phase Imbalance In QPSK	13
FIGURE 3.3 Phasor Diagram Of QPSK With Amplitude Imbalance	13
FIGURE 3.4 Power Loss Degradation Due To Filtering QPSK; 3rd Order Filters	14
FIGURE 3.5 Degradation Due To Demodulator Static Phase Error	15
FIGURE 3.6 Two Pole Butterworth Data Filter Detection Of Coherent QPSK And BPSK	18
FIGURE 3.7 Comparison Of Matched And Butterworth Data Filters	18
FIGURE 3.8 Comparison Of Transmission And Predetection Filtering With QPSK Signals	19
FIGURE 3.9 I/D Filter Outputs For Bit Combinations As Function Of Sampling Time	20
FIGURE 4.1 Maximum Transmission Impairments With Raised Cosine Pulse Spectrum And Quadratic Delay Distortion (d: maximum delay distortion over band $f_{max}$ )	23
FIGURE 4.2 Measured And Computed Degradation Due To Delay Slope On A 45 Mbit/s Offset QPSK, 50 % Raised-Cosine System	23
FIGURE 4.3 Effects Of Pure Phase Distortion ( I/D Detection)	24

FIGURE 4.4	Measured And Computed Degradation Due To Amplitude Slope On A 45 Mbit/s Offset QPSK, 50 % Raised-Cosine System	25
FIGURE 4.5	Power Spectrum Of Linear Amplitude Distortion	26
FIGURE 4.6	Power Spectrum Of Quadratic Amplitude Distortion	27
FIGURE 4.7	Ripple Amplitude Distortion Type I	28
FIGURE 4.8	Ripple Amplitude Distortion Type II	28
FIGURE 4.9	Linear Group Delay	29
FIGURE 4.10	Parabolic Group Delay	30
FIGURE 4.11	Ripple Group Delay Type I	31
FIGURE 4.12	Ripple Group Delay Type II	31
FIGURE 4.13	Power Spectrum Of 2nd Order Butterworth Filter	32
FIGURE 4.14	Pole Locations Of 3rd Order Butterworth Filter	33
FIGURE 4.15	Power Spectrum Of 3rd Order Chebychev Filter With 3 dB Ripple	34
FIGURE 4.16	Pole Locations Of 2nd Order Chebychev Filter	35
FIGURE 5.1	Block Diagram Of Linear Interference Problem	37
FIGURE 6.1	Modelling Of A Nonlinearity With Memory	43
FIGURE 6.2	IQ-Channel Representation Of Nonlinearity	44
FIGURE 6.3	Limiter Characteristics	45
FIGURE 6.4	AM/AM Characteristics of INTELSAT-IV TWT Amplifier	46
FIGURE 6.5	AM/PM Characteristics of INTELSAT-IV TWT TWT Amplifier	47

FIGURE 6.6	Equivalent Block Diagram Of A Digital Satellite Link	49
FIGURE 7.1	Hypothetical Probability Density Functions	53
FIGURE 7.2	Confidence Bands On BER When Observed Value Is $10^{-k}$ (M.C. Technique)	55
FIGURE 7.3	Pure M.C. And Quasi-analytic Simulations	57
FIGURE 8.1	Linear Transmission System Of SSNDC	59
FIGURE 8.2	Nonlinear Transmission System Of SSNDC	61
FIGURE 8.3a)	First Part Of System Configuration Session With SSNDC	62
FIGURE 8.3b)	Second Part Of System Configuration Session With SSNDC (linear system)	63
FIGURE 8.3c)	Second Part Of System Configuration Session With SSNDC (nonlinear system)	64
FIGURE 9.1	Degradation Due To Phase Imbalance In BPSK	67
FIGURE 9.2	Degradation Due To Amplitude Imbalance In BPSK	67
FIGURE 9.3	Degradation Due To Combined Effects Of Amplitude And Phase Imbalances In BPSK	68
FIGURE 9.4	Degradation Due To Phase Imbalance In QPSK	68
FIGURE 9.5	Degradation Due To Amplitude Imbalance In QPSK	69
FIGURE 9.6	Effects Of Linear Delay Distortion On The System Performance With QPSK And BPSK Modulations At $P_{be} = 10^{-6}$	69
FIGURE 9.7	Effects Of Prefiltering With 0.1 dB Ripple Chebychev Filter On The Quadratic Delay Distortion Imposed On QPSK	70
FIGURE 9.8	Parabolic Amplitude Distortion	70
FIGURE 9.9	Demodulator Static Phase Error	71

FIGURE 9.10 Effect Of 0.1 dB Ripple Chebychev Filter On Probability Of Error	71
FIGURE 9.11 Detection Of BPSK With 2nd Order Butterworth Filter	72
FIGURE 9.12 Degradation Due To Sampling Time Error	72
FIGURE 9.13 Nonlinear System Response(TWT at saturation)	73

## LIST OF SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS

CAAD	Computer Aided Analysis And Design
AWGN	Additive White Gaussian Noise
TWT	Travelling Wave Tube
$X(\omega)$	Frequency Response
$x_{\text{comp}}(t)$	Complex Envelope
$x_{\text{hilb}}(t)$	Hilbert Transform
$x_{\text{an}}(t)$	Analytic Signal
$f_c$	Carrier Frequency
$f_s$	Sampling Frequency
BPSK	Binary Phase Shift Keying
QPSK	Quarternary Phase Shift Keying
OK-QPSK	Offset Quarternary Phase Shift Keying
MSK	Minimum Shift Keying
$b_i(t)$	Bit Sequence
$T_b$	Bit Period
LPF	Lowpass Filter
$\alpha$	Phase Offset
$U_i$	Optimum Demodulator Output
$j$	Square Root Of $\{-1\}$
$D$	Degradation In Power
$P_{\text{be}}$	Bit Error Probability
$\epsilon$	Amplitude Offset
$E_b$	Bit Energy
$P_{\text{out}}$	Optimum Demodulator Output Power

$Q(x)$	Cumulative Gaussian Distribution Function
A	Amplitude
$\varphi$	Carrier Static Phase Error
$N_0$	Noise Power
dB	decibel
ISI	Intersymbol Interference
RF	Radio Frequency
$\tau(\omega)$	Group Delay
Hz	Herz
$f_N$	Nyquist Frequency
LHP	Left Half Plane
$V_N(x)$	Chebyshev Polynomial
$p(t)$	Amplitude Shaping Function
$\theta(t)$	Phase Shaping Function
$\psi_i(t)$	Phase Of i'th Interfering Signal
$R_i(t)$	Envelope Of i'th Interfering Signal
$E\{x\}$	Expectation
$(\omega_i, u_i)$	Gaussian Quadrature Rules
ZMNL	Zero Memory Nonlinear Device
AM/AM	Amplitude Modulation To Amplitude Modulation
AM/PM	Amplitude Modulation To Phase Modulation
$I_0$	Modified Bessel Function Of 0'th Order
$I_1$	Modified Bessel Function Of 1'st Order
$V_T$	Threshold Voltage
$B_N$	Noise Equivalent Bandwidth

## I. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 General

The complexity of communication systems has grown considerably during the past few decades. While this growth increases the lead time for analysis and design, the need to insert new technologies into commercial products quickly, requires that the product design be done in a timely, cost effective and error free manner. These demands can be met only through the use of powerful computer aided analysis and design (CAAD) tools. As a result the use of digital simulation has become an important tool for the analysis and design of communication systems.

A central issue in the analysis and design process is that of performance evaluation which can be carried out using formula based mathematical techniques or simulation. In many problems neither approach by itself would be quite satisfactory because of excessive run time in (Monte Carlo) simulation or intractability in the case of analysis. These two approaches are somewhat complementary and the traditional dichotomy between the two approaches has become blurred in recent years. New techniques have been developed which are based on the combination of these two approaches. In some cases, simulation supports analysis. In other cases, simulation can be made more efficient by judicious use of analysis which allows formulation of the problem or structuring of the simulation so as to reduce the run time.

## 1.2 Thesis Outline

In this study, for the evaluation of symbol error rates of a digital communication system in additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) and interference environment, a simulation software named as SSNDC-Semianalytic Simulator of Nonlinear Digital Channels- has been developed.

In chapter 2, complex lowpass representation of bandpass signals is examined. Firstly, the need for complex lowpass representation, especially in simulation is discussed. Then the short description of complex envelopes of the bandpass modulator outputs included in SSNDC is given.

In chapter 3, the degradations resulting from the realization imperfections of digital communication systems are analyzed. These imperfections include phase and amplitude imbalance in modulators, incorrect phase reference at demodulator, synchronization error, nonideal detection filters and effects of transmitter and predetection filters.

Chapter 4 is devoted to linear distortion models of the communication channels. These models present various group delay and amplitude distortions. The sensitivities of different modulators to these distortion types are examined. IIR filters which are utilized in SSNDC are also a part of this chapter.

Chapter 5 examines methods of evaluating symbol error probability in presence of different type of interference and AWGN. For the case of the only interference being intersymbol type, methods presenting some bounds to the probability of error like Chernoff bound or moment space bounds and methods based on Taylor series expansion of characteristic functions are discussed. In this chapter a method which utilizes non-standard Gaussian quadrature rules to evaluate the symbol error probability in the presence of

a combination of intersymbol, interchannel, cochannel interferences and AWGN is explained in detail.

Chapter 6 is devoted to nonlinearities introduced in communication systems. Several methods for representation of nonlinearities are discussed. Different nonlinearity models are given which are ideal hard limiter, soft limiter, nonlinear amplifiers used in satellite links namely traveling wave tube amplifiers (TWT). Considerable emphasis is given to Volterra series representation of nonlinearities. The method of calculating the probability of symbol error in digital satellite links with nonlinearities using Volterra series is calculated.

Chapter 7 presents techniques for estimating the bit error rate in the simulation of digital communication systems.

Chapter 8 presents the possible choices for linear and nonlinear simulations and the system configuration of SSNDC.

The results and output of the developed software are given in chapter 9. In this chapter, a discussion on the simulation results and the curves, obtained using these results, can be found.

The conclusion and recommendations for the further studies on this topic is given in chapter 10.

In appendix A, a technique for evaluating moments are presented. In appendix B, the computation of Gaussian quadrature rules are given. In appendix C, program listing of SSNDC is given.

## II. COMPLEX LOWPASS REPRESENTATION OF BANDPASS SIGNALS

In most cases of interest in digital communication systems, we deal with narrowband bandpass systems. So, in simulating the channel signalling waveform, the utilization of the complex envelope representation drastically reduces the required sampling rate due to the elimination of the need for simulating a high-frequency carrier component [1],[2].

Using this technique, the choice in the working bandwidth in the simulation program depends only on the bandwidth of signals and systems and not on the frequency around which the bandwidth extends.

Narrowband signal representation is based on the concept of the analytical signal. It is well known that, given a real signal  $x(t)$  with spectrum  $X(w)$ , the knowledge of  $X(w)$  for positive frequencies is sufficient to get all the information on the signal  $x(t)$  because of the Hermitian symmetry in the spectrum [3].

Let  $x(t)$  be a narrowband bandpass signal centered around the carrier  $f_c$ . Then the analytic signal  $x_{an}(t)$  is defined as in equation (2.1) where  $x_{hilb}(t)$  denotes the Hilbert transform of  $x(t)$ . The complex envelope of  $x_{an}(t)$  is given in equation (2.2). The relation between  $x(t)$  and  $x_{comp}(t)$  is given in equations (2.3) and (2.4).

$$x_{an}(t) = x(t) + jx_{hilb}(t) \quad (2.1)$$

$$x_{comp}(t) = x_{an}(t) e^{-j2\pi f_c t} \quad (2.2)$$

$$x(t) = \text{Re} \left\{ x_{comp}(t) e^{j2\pi f_c t} \right\} \quad (2.3)$$

$$x_{\text{comp}}(t) = \left[ x(t) + j x_{\text{hilb}}(t) \right] e^{-j2\pi f_c t} \quad (2.4)$$

The spectrums of  $x(t)$ ,  $x_{\text{comp}}(t)$  and  $x_{\text{an}}(t)$  are shown in figure 2.1 where  $f_c$  and  $2f_s$  are the carrier frequency and the the signal bandwidth respectively.




Figure 2.1 Spectrum of a bandpass signal and its analytic and complex versions[3]

Let us consider a narrowband filtering operation where  $x(t)$  is the input,  $h(t)$  the narrowband impulse response of the linear filter and  $y(t)$  the narrowband output. Some simple manipulations of the convolution integral lead to the result in equation (2.5) where the symbol  $(*)$  denotes convolution. This result can be easily visualized by examining Figure 2.1 and remembering that convolution in time-domain corresponds to multiplication in frequency-domain.

$$y_{\text{comp}}(t) = \frac{1}{2} h_{\text{comp}}(t) * x_{\text{comp}}(t) \quad (2.5)$$

Let us represent the narrowband bandpass signal as in equation (2.6). Then  $x_{\text{hilb}}(t)$ ,  $x_{\text{an}}(t)$  and  $x_{\text{comp}}(t)$  are calculated as shown in the succeeding equations.

$$x(t) = a(t) \cos(2\pi f_c t + \theta(t)) \quad (2.6)$$

$$= a(t) \left[ \cos(\theta(t)) \cos(2\pi f_c t) - \sin(\theta(t)) \sin(2\pi f_c t) \right]$$

$$x_{\text{hilb}}(t) = a(t) \left[ \cos(\theta(t)) \sin(2\pi f_c t) + \sin(\theta(t)) \cos(2\pi f_c t) \right] \quad (2.7)$$

$$x_{\text{an}}(t) = a(t) \cos(\theta(t)) \left[ \cos(2\pi f_c t) + j \sin(2\pi f_c t) \right] + a(t) \sin(\theta(t)) \left[ j \cos(2\pi f_c t) - \sin(2\pi f_c t) \right] \quad (2.8)$$

$$x_{\text{an}}(t) = e^{j2\pi f_c t} a(t) e^{j\theta(t)} \quad (2.9)$$

$$x_{\text{comp}}(t) = a(t) e^{j\theta(t)} \quad (2.10)$$

Examples:

$$1) x(t) = \cos(2\pi f_c t) \Rightarrow x_{\text{comp}}(t) = e^{j0} = 1$$

$$2) x(t) = \sin(2\pi f_c t) \Rightarrow x_{\text{comp}}(t) = e^{-j\pi/2} = -j$$

## 2.1 Complex Envelopes of Some Modulated Waves

The complex envelopes of bandpass modulated signals can be easily obtained by using equations (2.6) and (2.10). Now we will give the equations of the bandpass signals and their complex envelopes for some popular modulation techniques [3],[4].

For BPSK the bandpass signal  $x(t)$  and its complex envelope  $x_{\text{comp}}(t)$  are given in equations (2.11) and (2.12) where  $b(t)=\pm 1$  and represents the bit sequence.

$$x(t) = A b(t) \cos (2\pi f_c t) \quad (2.11)$$

$$x_{\text{comp}}(t) = A b(t) \quad (2.12)$$

for QPSK where  $b_1(t)$  and  $b_2(t)$  are the bit sequences in quadrature channels

$$x(t) = A b_1(t) \cos (2\pi f_c t) + A b_2(t) \sin (2\pi f_c t) \quad (2.13)$$

$$x_{\text{comp}}(t) = A [b_1(t) + j b_2(t)] \quad (2.14)$$

the OK-QPSK modulator is essentially a QPSK modulator where  $b_1(t)$  and  $b_2(t)$  are staggered  $1/2$  symbols. In MSK modulators  $b_1(t)$  and  $b_2(t)$  are defined by equations (2.15) and (2.16) and they are staggered  $1/2$  symbols.

$$b_1(t) = \cos (\pi t / (2T_b)) \quad (2.15)$$

$$b_2(t) = \sin (\pi t / (2T_b)) \quad (2.16)$$

where  $T_b$  is the bit period.

### III. PERFORMANCE DEGRADATIONS DUE TO REALIZATION IMPERFECTIONS

The theoretical performance of digital communication systems can hardly be attained in reality. Among the reasons of this fact, change in the channel characteristics in the AWGN case when various functional blocks in digital communication system are nonideally realized, are the major ones. In this part of our study we will concentrate ourselves on realization imperfections. Considerations will be limited to coherent communication systems and linear channels.




Figure 3.1 Model of nonideal communication system [4]

A general model for considering these impairments is shown in figure 3.1. The degradations to be considered are listed below [4].

1. Phase and amplitude imbalance in BPSK
2. Phase and amplitude imbalance in QPSK
3. Power loss due to transmitter filtering
4. Phase offset of the carrier recovery circuitry
5. Nonideal detection filter
6. Predetection filtering
7. Bit synchronizer timing error

### 3.1 Phase and Amplitude Imbalance in BPSK

In some BPSK modulators perfect switching of  $\pi$ -radians of the modulated output does not occur. The complex envelope of an imperfectly phase switched BPSK signal can be represented as :

$$u(t) = A e^{j \frac{\pi}{2} [-1 + (1-\alpha) b(t)]} \quad (3.1)$$

where  $b(t)=\pm 1$  is the input data stream to the demodulator and  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  is the fractional error from perfect phase switching. The case  $\alpha=0$  corresponds to ideal BPSK waveform. The output of an optimum coherent demodulator for  $u(t)$  in equation (3.1) will be given in (3.2) where  $r(t)$  is the received waveform which is not subject to attenuation or phase shift for the sake of ease in illustration [3].

$$U_i = \text{Re} \left\{ \int_0^T r(t) u_i^*(t) dt \right\} \quad i=1,2 \quad (3.2)$$

$u_1(t)$  is the ideal BPSK signal with unity amplitude assuming  $b(t)=+1$ . So  $u_1^*(t)$  and the resulting optimum demodulator output  $U_1$  become

$$u_1^*(t) = \left[ e^{j \frac{\pi}{2} [-1+1]} \right]^* = 1 \quad (3.3)$$

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 &= \text{Re} \left\{ A e^{j \frac{\pi}{2} [-1+(1-\alpha) b(t)]} \right\} \\ &= A \text{Re} \left\{ e^{j \frac{\pi}{2} [-1+(1-\alpha) b(t)]} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= A \sin \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} (1 - \alpha) b(t) \right] \\
 &= A \sin \left[ \frac{\pi}{2} b(t) \right] \cos \left[ \frac{\pi \alpha b(t)}{2} \right] \\
 &= A b(t) \cos \left[ \frac{\pi \alpha}{2} \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

The cosine term in the equation corresponds to a demodulation power loss of  $D_{ph}$  given in equation (3.5) which represents the required additional signal power to attain the same probability of error as in case of no imbalance is present.

$$D_{ph} \text{ (dB)} = 20 \log_{10} \left[ \cos \left( \frac{\alpha \pi}{2} \right) \right] \quad (3.5)$$

Amplitude imbalance in BPSK occurs when there is an offset in the amplitude level. Complex envelope of the BPSK signal;  $u(t)$ , which is subject to amplitude imbalance, the corresponding coherent demodulator output;  $U$  and the resulting bit error probability;  $P_{be}$  will be:

$$u(t) = A [\epsilon + b(t)] e^{j \frac{\pi}{2} (-1+b(t))} \quad (3.6)$$

$$U = A [\epsilon + b(t)] \quad (3.7)$$

$$P_{be} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ Q \left( \sqrt{\frac{2E_b}{N_0}} (1+\epsilon) \right) + Q \left( \sqrt{\frac{2E_b}{N_0}} (1-\epsilon) \right) \right] \quad (3.8)$$

The degradations due to phase and amplitude imbalances cannot be added simply because no clear power degradation term for amplitude imbalance can be given. The combined effects of these degradations on BPSK for  $P_{be}=10^{-6}$ ; obtained by our simulation program; are shown in figure 9.3 with amplitude imbalance as a parameter.

### 3.2 Phase and Amplitude Imbalance in QPSK

The complex envelope of a QPSK modulator with phase imbalance is given by equation (3.9) where  $b_1(t)$  and  $b_2(t)$  are the bit sequences modulating two orthogonal carriers and  $\beta \geq 0$  represents a phase imbalance. The outputs of the orthogonal coherent demodulators are given by equations (3.10) and (3.11) respectively.

$$u(t) = A \left[ b_1(t) e^{j \frac{\beta}{2}} + b_2(t) e^{-j \left( \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\beta}{2} \right)} \right] \quad (3.9)$$

Defining  $u_1(t)$  and  $u_2(t)$  as:  $u_1(t) = 1$

$$u_2(t) = -j$$

$$U_1 = \text{Re} \left\{ \int_0^T u(t) u_1^*(t) dt \right\} \quad (3.10)$$

$$= A \left[ b_1(t) \cos \frac{\beta}{2} - b_2(t) \sin \frac{\beta}{2} \right]$$

$$U_2 = \text{Re} \left\{ \int_0^T u(t) u_2^*(t) dt \right\} \quad (3.11)$$

$$= A \left[ -b_1(t) \sin \frac{\beta}{2} + b_2(t) \cos \frac{\beta}{2} \right]$$

In addition to the power loss in demodulator outputs, the term with  $b_2(t)$  in  $U_1$  and the term with  $b_1(t)$  in  $U_2$  represents the crosstalk between the quadrature channels. The effect of those two degradations on the receiver performance can be evaluated by analyzing the coherent demodulator outputs. Each of them is of the form given in (3.12). They result in two different output powers  $P_{out1}$  and  $P_{out2}$ . The corresponding probability of bit error is given by  $P_{be}$  in equation (3.14) where  $E_b$  is the energy per bit and  $N_0$  is the single sided noise spectral density.

$$U_i = \pm A \left( \cos \frac{\beta}{2} \pm \sin \frac{\beta}{2} \right) \quad (3.12)$$

$$P_{out1} = A^2 \left( \cos \frac{\beta}{2} + \sin \frac{\beta}{2} \right)^2 \quad (3.13)$$

$$P_{out2} = A^2 \left( \cos \frac{\beta}{2} - \sin \frac{\beta}{2} \right)^2$$

$$P_{be} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ Q \left( \sqrt{\frac{2E_b}{N_0}} \left( \cos \frac{\beta}{2} + \sin \frac{\beta}{2} \right) \right) + Q \left( \sqrt{\frac{2E_b}{N_0}} \left( \cos \frac{\beta}{2} - \sin \frac{\beta}{2} \right) \right) \right] \quad (3.14)$$

Expressing the degradation as the amount of increase in bit energy  $E_b$ ; to attain the same error probability, it is seen that, there is no simple expression as in the BPSK case since the error probability is the average of two  $Q(\cdot)$  functions. So a numerical solution is required. Figure 3.2 is a plot of phase degradation in QPSK for a bit error probability of  $10^{-6}$ .




Figure 3.2 Degradation due to phase imbalance in QPSK [4]




Figure 3.3 Phasor diagram of QPSK with amplitude imbalance[4]

Degradation due to amplitude imbalance is referred to as difference in quadrature carrier amplitudes. Let the complex envelope of QPSK given as in equation (3.15). It will result in the decision variables and the bit error probability of equation (3.16) and (3.17) respectively. The IQ-plot of a QPSK modulator output with  $A_1=1$  and  $A_2=2$  is given in figure 3.3.

$$u(t) = A_1 b_1(t) + A_2 b_2(t) e^{-j\frac{\pi}{2}} \quad (3.15)$$

$$U_1 = A_1 b_1(t) \quad (3.16)$$

$$U_2 = A_2 b_2(t)$$

$$P_{be} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ Q \left( \sqrt{\frac{2A_1^2 T}{N_0}} \right) + Q \left( \sqrt{\frac{2A_2^2 T}{N_0}} \right) \right] \quad (3.17)$$




Figure 3.4 Power loss degradation due to filtering QPSK; third order filters[5]

### 3.3 Power Loss due to Filtering the Modulated Signal

To limit the out-of-band power of the transmitter, band-pass filters are placed after the modulators. The price of them will be the power loss and phase distortions due to nonideal filter characteristics which cause intersymbol interference. The power loss degradations due to filtering QPSK signal with 3rd order Butterworth, Bessel and 0.1 dB ripple Chebychev filters are shown in the figure 3.4.




Figure 3.5 Degradation due to demodulator static phase error [4]

### 3.4 Phase Offset of the Carrier Recovery Circuitry

When there is a phase reference offset of  $\varphi$  radians at a coherent demodulator of a BPSK system, degradation effects similar to phase imbalance at modulator case are observed. Let the complex envelope of BPSK signal be given by equation (3.18) where  $\alpha$  is a constant phase. The output of a coherent demodulator with  $\varphi$  radians phase offset and the corresponding power loss are given by  $U$  in equation (3.19) and by  $D_{\text{bpsk}\varphi}$  in (3.20) respectively.

$$u(t) = A b(t) e^{j\alpha} \quad (3.18)$$

$$U = \text{Re} \left\{ \int_0^T u(t) u_1^*(t) dt \right\} \quad (3.19)$$

$$= A b(t) \text{Re} \left\{ e^{j\alpha} \left[ e^{j(\alpha + \varphi)} \right]^* \right\}$$

$$= A b(t) \cos \varphi$$

$$D_{\text{bpsk}\varphi} \text{ (dB)} = 20 \log_{10} (\cos \varphi) \quad (3.20)$$

For quadrature modulation systems the analysis of demodulator phase error is more complicated due to the crosstalk introduced between the quadrature channels. Similar steps, as in the phase-imbalance in modulator case, are followed. Degradations due to demodulator phase error at BPSK, QPSK and MSK systems are shown in figure 3.5. In serial MSK systems, the

sampling time is derived from average zero crossings. The effect will be negligible crosstalk between quadrature channels which explains the fact that, serial MSK and BPSK are subject to essentially same amount of degradation.

### 3.5 Nonideal Detection Filter

The implementation of an ideal correlation type filter may be difficult. Also considering the freedom from the necessity to dump the filter, the use of an intentionally mismatched data filter can be very desirable [5].

When this is done the performance of the receiver is degraded from that of the optimum receiver for two reasons. First at the output of such a filter the ratio of peak signal to root-mean-square noise will be less than the theoretically maximum value  $2E/N_0$ ,  $E$  being the signal energy and  $N_0$  the noise power spectral density. Second, a suboptimum filter will introduce intersymbol interference from one symbol period to succeeding symbol periods due to its transient response.

Figure 3.6 shows average probability of error versus  $BT$  product where  $B$  is the two-sided RF-equivalent detection filter bandwidth, with  $E_b/N_0$  as a parameter.

The integrate and dump filter is not always the best choice (even when it can be implemented conveniently) because it is not the matched filter for distorted signals. Improved performance with distorted signals may be available with simpler data filters. This fact can be seen in the figure 3.7 where 0.1 dB ripple Chebychev filters distort the signal.




Figure 3.6 Two pole Butterworth data filter detection of coherent QPSK and BPSK [4]




Figure 3.7 Comparison of Matched and Butterworth data filters [5]




Figure 3.8 Comparison of transmission and predetection filtering with QPSK signals [5]

### 3.6 Predetection Filtering

Since all practical receivers involve filtering prior to the detection operation, which may be for example due to an intermediate frequency amplifier, the effects of filters placed prior to an ideal matched filter receiver are now considered. In figure 3.8 the degradation in the case of antipodal base band signalling or, equivalently BPSK signalling which includes QPSK and OK-QPSK when viewed on a per quadrature channel basis are shown. The two curves in the figure show the degradation as a function of symbol rate normalized by the 3-dB RF-bandwidth of the filter. The only difference is in the placement of the Chebyshev filters. In the case of predetection filtering, the noise is added before filtering and in the case of transmitter filtering the noise is added after filtering. The predetection filtering case introduces less degradation due to the noise rejection effect of the filter. So the effect of signal power loss and intersymbol interference caused by filtering is less compared to transmitter filtering case.

### 3.7 Bit Synchronizer Timing Error

The degradation due to bit synchronization error in baseband systems are investigated by several authors; [6],[7],[8]; for a number of pulseshapes including ideal bandlimited, Gaussian, Chebychev pulses.




Figure 3.9 I/D filter outputs for bit combinations as function of sampling time [4]

The I/D filters output for PSK systems is similar to the case of antipodal baseband signalling with rectangular pulses. For analysis two adjacent bits must be considered. The I/D filter outputs for four different bit combinations at times  $\Delta t + T$  is shown in the figure 3.9

The degradation in SNR is proportional to the square of matched filters output as a function of  $\Delta t/T$ . For each case the relative degradation is given in equation (7.1). The resulting average bit error probability for BPSK assuming equally likely sequences is given in (7.2).

$$D_a = D_d = 1 \quad 0 \leq t \leq T \quad (3.21)$$

$$D_b = D_c = \left(1 - \frac{2\Delta t}{T}\right)^2 \quad 0 \leq t \leq T$$

$$P_{be} = \frac{1}{2} \left[ Q \left( \sqrt{\frac{2D_a E_b}{N_0}} \right) + Q \left( \sqrt{\frac{2D_b E_b}{N_0}} \right) \right] \quad (3.22)$$

#### IV. IMPERFECT LINEAR CHANNELS

To obtain optimum performance in digital communication systems we try to satisfy Nyquist's ISI-free transmission criterion. In many applications a virtually ideal received pulse spectrum can be obtained with available hardware. The variation from the ideal arises from the non-ideal phase and amplitude characteristics. This phase distortion or the corresponding group delay distortion together with any amplitude distortion, introduce ISI. In this case additional signal power is required to achieve the same performance as in the distortionless AWGN case.

The quadratic and linear delay distortion models, used in our simulation program, are shown in figures 4.9 and 4.10, respectively. When the double sided RF-bandwidth is  $2f_{\max}$ , the ideal group delay in the  $f_c \pm f_{\max}$  range should be constant which corresponds to a linear phase, remembering that group delay is defined as in equation (4.1) where  $\tau$  is the group delay spectrum and  $\beta$  is the phase spectrum.

$$\tau(\omega) = - \frac{d\beta(\omega)}{d\omega} \quad (4.1)$$

Quadratic delay distortion is in theory approached near midband of a flat bandpass channel with sharp cut-offs such as a carrier system voice channel and approximates the type of delay distortion often encountered in channels without phase equalization [10]. The corresponding phase distortion will be cubic. Minimum eye diagram opening at the best sampling instant with raised cosine pulse spectrum and quadratic delay distortion is given in figure 4.1 for coherent BPSK and QPSK, for DPSK (BPSK with differential phase detection) and DQPSK (QPSK with differential phase detection) [11].




Figure 4.1 Maximum transmission impairments with raised-cosine pulse spectrum and quadratic delay distortion  
( $d$ : maximum delay distortion over band  $f_{\max}$ ) [11]




Figure 4.2 Measured and computed C/N degradation due to delay slope on a 45-Mbit/s offset QPSK, 50% raised-cosine system [12]

After phase equalization of quadratic delay distortion a linear delay distortion component may remain, owing to inexact equalization. Delay distortion encountered in troposcatter channels as a result of frequency

selective fading can also be represented as linear distortion type [10]. The corresponding phase characteristics will be quadratic. Computer calculated and measured carrier to noise power; (C/N); degradations due to delay slope distortion are shown in figure 4.2. For the measurements a 45Mbit/s bit rate QK-QPSK modem was employed [12].

Figure 4.3 illustrates the degradation of QPSK and BPSK signals resulting from quadratic or cubic phase distortions [5]. The degradation in power is plotted as a function of the phase deviation in degrees measured at a frequency displaced by the symbol rate from the carrier. Matched filter detection is utilized. A parabolic phase distortion causes larger degradation with QPSK signals than with BPSK. This is because of the crosstalk introduced between the quadrature channels of the QPSK system. In order not to introduce crosstalk, the distortion filter must have a real impulse response. If the impulse response of a filter is to be real, it must have antisymmetrical phase characteristics. So a parabolic phase distortion which is a symmetrical phase function, introduces crosstalk between the quadrature




Figure 4.3 Effect of pure phase distortion with integrate-and-dump detection [4]

channels of a QPSK system. With cubic phase distortion which is an antisymmetrical phase function; the impulse response of the distortion filter is real, resulting at the same amount of degradation in QPSK and BPSK systems. The figure also shows the result where a cubic phase distortion is cascaded with a five pole 0.1dB ripple Chebychev filter (with  $BT=2$ ). The Chebychev filter introduces an initial degradation in the absence of phase distortion. Notably however, the introduction of a bandlimiting transmission filter reduces the sensitivity to phase distortion.

The major amplitude distortions are linear and parabolic. They are encountered in fading multipath channels. Computer calculated and measured carrier to noise power;  $(C/N)$ ; degradations due to amplitude slope distortion are shown in figure 4.4 [12]. Other possible amplitude and delay distortions are ripple type. The detailed description of these filters are given in the following section.




Figure 4.4 Measured and computed C/N degradation due to amplitude slope on a 45-Mb/s offset QPSK, 50% raised-cosine system [12]

## 4.1 Linear Distortion Models of SSNDC

All of the following filters are complex lowpass equivalents of their bandpass versions. So the frequencies in the figures and equations are shifted by the carrier frequency and normalized to the baud rate.

### 4.1.1) Linear Amplitude Distortion:

The linear amplitude distortion can be defined as (see figure 4.5) in equation (4.2) where  $b$  is the amplitude slope defined in terms of dB/Hz and  $f$  is the frequency in Hz [9]. The transfer function of the distortion filter;  $H_D(f)$ ; is obtained as in equation (4.3).

$$D = bf \quad (4.2)$$

$$H_D(f) = 10^{bf/20} \quad (4.3)$$




Figure 4.5 Power spectrum of linear amplitude distortion[ 10]

### 4.1.2) Parabolic Amplitude Distortion:

The parabolic amplitude distortion can be defined as (see figure 4.6) in equation (4.4) where  $b$  is the amplitude variation defined in terms of

$\text{dB}/(\text{Hz})^2$  and  $f$  is the frequency in Hz. The transfer function of the distortion filter is obtained as in equation (4.5).

$$D = bf^2 \quad (4.4)$$

$$H_D(f) = 10^{bf^2/20} \quad (4.5)$$




Figure 4.6 Power spectrum of quadratic amplitude distortion[ 10]

#### 4.1.3) Ripple Amplitude Distortion:

There are two types of ripple amplitude distortion. Type I ripple amplitude distortion is defined as (see figure 4.7) in equation (4.6) where  $b$  is the maximum amplitude distortion in the passband in (dB),  $c$  the number of ripples in the passband and  $f_N$  is the Nyquist frequency in Hz. The corresponding transfer function of the distortion filter is given as in equation (4.7).

$$D_1(\text{dB}) = b \sin\left(\frac{\pi fc}{f_N}\right) \quad (4.6)$$

$$H_{D_1}(f) = 10^{\frac{b}{20} \sin\left(\frac{\pi fc}{f_N}\right)} \quad (4.7)$$

Type II. ripple amplitude distortion differs from type I. in that, it has a peak at the center of the passband. The distortion in logarithmic scale and

the transfer function of the distortion filter are given as (see figure 4.8) in equations (4.8) and (4.9).

$$D_{II}(\text{dB}) = b \cos\left(\frac{\pi f c}{f_N}\right) \quad (4.8)$$

$$H_{D_{II}}(f) = 10^{\frac{b}{20} \cos\left(\frac{\pi f c}{f_N}\right)} \quad (4.9)$$




Figure 4.7 Ripple amplitude distortion type I [9]




Figure 4.8 Ripple amplitude distortion type II [9]

#### 4.1.4) Linear Group Delay:

By definition the linear group delay is given by (see figure 4.9) equation (4.10) where  $b$  is the delay slope and given in terms of sec/Hz and  $f$  is given in Hz. Referring to equation (4.1) the phase distortion can be found as in equation (4.11). If  $b$  is given in ns/MHz and  $f$  is given in MHz, the phase distortion is found as in equation (4.12). The factor  $10^{-3}$  comes from the change in the units.

$$\tau = bf \quad (4.10)$$

$$\phi = -\pi bf^2 \quad (4.11)$$

$$\phi = -\pi bf^2 10^{-3} \quad (4.12)$$




Figure 4.9 Linear group delay [ 11 ]

#### 4.1.5) Parabolic Group Delay:

By definition the parabolic group delay is given by (see figure 4.10) equation (4.13) where  $b$  is given in sec/Hz and  $f$  is given in Hz. The corresponding phase distortion is found as in equations (4.14); or (4.15), where  $b$  is given in ns/(MHz)<sup>2</sup> and  $f$  is given in MHz.

$$\tau = bf^2 \quad (4.13)$$

$$\phi = (-2\pi bf^3)/3 \quad (4.14)$$

$$\phi = \frac{-2\pi}{3} bf^3 10^{-3} \quad (4.15)$$




Figure 4.10 Parabolic group delay [11]

#### 4.1.6) Ripple Group Delay:

There are two types of ripple group delay. Type I. ripple group delay is defined as (see figure 4.11) in equation (4.16) where  $b$  is the maximum group delay in the passband in sec,  $c$  the number of ripples in the passband and  $f_N$  the Nyquist frequency. The phase distortion is obtained as in equation (4.17) where  $\phi$  is in radians. Equivalently, when  $b$  is in ns and  $f$  and  $f_N$  is in MHz equation (4.18) is obtained.

$$\tau_1 = b \cos\left[\frac{\pi fc}{f_N}\right] \quad (4.16)$$

$$\phi_1 = -2\pi b \left(\frac{f_N}{c}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi fc}{f_N}\right) \quad (4.17)$$

$$\phi_1 = -2\pi b \left(\frac{f_N}{c}\right) 10^{-6} \sin\left(\frac{\pi fc}{f_N}\right) \quad (4.18)$$

The corresponding equations for ripple group delay type II (figure 4.12) are as follows.

$$\tau_{II} = b \sin\left[\frac{\pi fc}{f_N}\right] \quad (4.19)$$

$$\phi_{II} = -2\pi b \left(\frac{f_N}{c}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\pi fc}{f_N}\right) \quad (4.20)$$

$$\phi_{II} = -2\pi b \left(\frac{f_N}{c}\right) 10^{-6} \cos\left(\frac{\pi fc}{f_N}\right) \quad (4.21)$$




Figure 4.11 Ripple group delay type I [9]




Figure 4.11 Ripple group delay type II [9]

## 4.2 Linear Filters of SSNDC

### 4.2.1) Butterworth Filters:

Butterworth filters, also called maximally flat amplitude response filters, are commonly used types of linear filters in communication systems. An n'th order normalized Butterworth filter has a magnitude function given by:

$$|H(j\omega)|^2 = \frac{1}{1 + \omega^{2n}} \quad (4.22)$$




Figure 4.13 Power spectrum of 2nd order Butterworth filter [13]

The magnitude response of a 2nd order Butterworth filter is shown in figure 4.13. The gain at the center frequency is unity and the 3-(dB) cut-off frequency is at  $\omega=1$ . The high frequency roll-off of an n'th order Butterworth filter magnitude square is  $20n$  dB/decade. The poles of Butterworth filters are located equally spaced on a circle in the s-plane [13]. In the figure 4.14 the poles of a 3rd order Butterworth filter are shown.




Figure 4.14 Pole locations of a 3rd order Butterworth filter [ 13]

The transfer function of these filters can be obtained by using the LHP poles; namely for an  $M$ 'th order Butterworth filter the transfer function is given as in equation (4.23) where  $\sigma_i$  and  $\omega_i$  define the pole location on  $s$ -plane. The angles of the LHP poles are given by  $(2i+M-1)\pi/(2M)$  where  $i=1,2,3,\dots,M$

$$H(j\omega) = \prod_{i=1}^M \frac{1}{j\omega - (\sigma_i + j\omega_i)} \quad (4.23)$$

#### 4.2.1) Chebychev Filters:

These are IIR filters which have ripples in the passband of their spectrums and show monotonically decreasing behaviour in the transition- and stopband. The squared magnitude response of a 3rd order Chebychev filter with 3dB ripple amplitude is given in figure 4.15.

A Chebychev filter is defined by three parameters; the critical frequency,  $\omega_c$ ; the order  $N$ ; and the passband ripple amplitude  $A_{\max}$ . The number of ripples in the passband is equal to the filter order. When  $A_{\max}$  is the peak-to-peak passband ripple given in dB, the ripple parameter  $\epsilon$  is

obtained as:

$$\epsilon = \sqrt{10^{(A_{\max}/10)-1}} \quad (4.24)$$




Figure 4.15 Power spectrum of 3rd order Chebyshev filter with 3dB ripple [13]

The poles of a Chebyshev filter lie on an ellipse in  $s$ -plane. Referring to figure 4.16 the ellipse is defined by two circles corresponding to minor axis and major axis of the ellipse [3]. The radius of the minor axis is  $a\omega_c$  where :

$$a = \frac{1}{2} [\alpha^{1/N} - \alpha^{-1/N}] \quad (4.25)$$

with :

$$\alpha = \epsilon^{-1} + \sqrt{1 + \epsilon^{-2}} \quad (4.26)$$

The radius of the major axis is  $b\omega_c$  where :

$$b = \frac{1}{2} [\alpha^{1/N} + \alpha^{-1/N}] \quad (4.27)$$

To locate the poles of the Chebyshev filter on ellipse we identify  $N$  angles

as in the Butterworth case  $(2i+N-1)\pi/(2N)$  where  $i=1,2,..,N$




Figure 4.16 Pole locations of a 2nd order Chebyshev filter [ 13]

The poles of a Chebyshev filter fall on the ellipse with the ordinate specified by the points identified on the outer circle and the abscissa specified by the inner circle. The resulting square magnitude function will be :

$$|H(j\omega)|^2 = \frac{1}{1 + \epsilon^2 V_N^2(\omega/\omega_c)} \quad (4.28)$$

where  $V_N(x)$  is the N'th order Chebyshev polynomial defined as :

$$V_N(x) = \cos ( N \cos^{-1}(x) ) \quad (4.29)$$

## V. INTERFERENCE INTO DIGITAL SIGNALS

The effects of interfering sources on digital communication systems are of great interest since interference is among the major causes of performance degradations. Because of the inherent nonlinear nature of digital systems, there is no formal solution to this problem. The performance of the digital system depends upon every detail of its design on environment, interference being only one aspect.

Thus effect of interference cannot be explicitly defined as can be done (in most instances) for analog systems. For an existing system design which produces a certain degradation  $D_1$  (at a given BER) without interference and a degradation  $D_2$  with interference, it is fair to say that  $D_2 - D_1$  is degradation that can be attributed to the presence of interference with the given set of conditions. If many sources of impairment are present, it becomes more difficult to extract one effect from another. In this case it is perhaps possible to show a generalized method to obtain numerical methods. Here we will consider some numerical approaches including exact and bounding techniques, with their application to coherent phase shift keying (CPSK) systems.

### 5.1 General Formulation, CPSK :

An M-ary CPSK system which is subject to intersymbol, interchannel and cochannel interferences and AWGN can be modelled as in figure 5.1. The complex envelope of the desired signal at the receiver input is given by equation (5.1) [14].

$$e_1(t) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} p(t-kT) \exp[ja_k \theta(t-kT)] \quad (5.1)$$




Figure 5.1 Block diagram of linear interference problem [ 14]

where

$p(t)$  : possible amplitude shaping function

$\theta(t)$  : possible phase pulse shaping function

$a_k$  : k'th symbol phase

For an M-ary system,  $a_k$  is usually chosen in the set  $[2\pi n/M]$  for  $n=1,2, \dots, M$ . The i'th interfering complex LP-equivalent signal can be represented by equation

$$e_i(t) = R_i(t) \exp \left[ j \left[ 2\pi f_i t + \psi_i(t) + \mu_i \right] \right] \quad i=2, 3, \dots, N \quad (5.2)$$

where

$R_i(t)$  : envelope of the i'th interfering signal

$\psi_i(t)$  : phase of the i'th interfering signal

$f_i$  : the frequency difference of the interfering carrier from the desired signal

$\mu_i$  : phase angle of the i'th interfering signal assumed to be independent of one another and uniformly distributed on  $(0, 2\pi)$

When the interfering signals are digital modulation signals, their form will be :

$$e_i(t) = \sum_{k_i=-\infty}^{\infty} r_i p_i(t - k_i T_i - \tau_i) \exp \left[ j \left[ 2\pi f_i t + a_{ik_i} \psi_i(t - k_i T_i - \tau_i) + \mu_i \right] \right] \quad (5.3)$$

where

$T_i$  : symbol duration

$\tau_i$  : relative time origin

$r_i$  : relative interference level

$a_{ik_i}$  : possible symbol phases of interfering signals

Each signal is passed through a filter with LP-equivalent transfer function  $H_i(f)$ . The receiver is assumed to be an ideal phase receiver that, once per symbol, samples the instantaneous phase  $\beta$  and decides that  $a_k = (2\pi k/M)$  was sent if:

$$\frac{(2k-1)\pi}{M} \leq \beta \leq \frac{(2k+1)\pi}{M}$$

The complex envelope of the input to the phase detector is :

$$e(t) = n_c(t) + j n_s(t) + \sum_{i=1}^N e_i(t) \cdot h_i(t) \quad (5.4)$$

$n_c(t)$  and  $n_s(t)$  are the in-phase and quadrature components of the noise.

Suppose the zero'th symbol  $a_0$  is to be detected. The decision variable will

be :

$$\beta_0 = \beta(t_0) = \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{e_s(t_0)}{e_c(t_0)} \right)$$

where  $e_c(t)$  and  $e_s(t)$  are the real and imaginary parts of  $e(t)$ , given as follows:

$$e_c(t_0) = s_{c0} + n_{c0} + x_{c0} + y_{c0}$$

$$e_s(t_0) = s_{s0} + n_{s0} + x_{s0} + y_{s0}$$

where

$s$  : useful signal

$n$  : noise

$x$  : intersymbol interference

$y$  : interchannel interference

Let

$$C_k(t) = p(t-kT) \cos(a_k \theta(t-kT))$$

$$S_k(t) = p(t-kT) \sin(a_k \theta(t-kT))$$

$$A_i(t) = R_i(t) \cos(w_i t + \psi_i(t) + \mu_i)$$

$$B_i(t) = R_i(t) \sin(w_i t + \psi_i(t) + \mu_i)$$

$$h_i(t) = h_{ic}(t) + jh_{is}(t)$$

Then :

$$s_c(t) = C_0(t) * h_{ic}(t) - S_0(t) * h_{is}(t) \quad (5.5a)$$

$$s_s(t) = C_0(t) * h_{is}(t) + S_0(t) * h_{ic}(t) \quad (5.5b)$$

$$x_c(t) = \sum_{k=0} C_k(t) * h_{ic}(t) - S_k(t) * h_{is}(t) \quad (5.5c)$$

$$x_s(t) = \sum_{k=0} C_k(t) * h_{is}(t) + S_k(t) * h_{ic}(t) \quad (5.5d)$$

$$y_c(t) = \sum_{i=2}^N A_i(t) * h_{ic}(t) - B_i(t) * h_{is}(t) \quad (5.5e)$$

$$y_s(t) = \sum_{i=2}^N A_i(t) * h_{is}(t) + B_i(t) * h_{ic}(t) \quad (5.5f)$$

If the interfering signals are digital modulation signals, equations (5.5e) and (5.5f) become similar to (5.5c) and (5.5d). Thus, the external

interference problem becomes formally similar to ISI, making techniques developed for the latter possible to use for the former.

In order not to bother with the heavy notation of M-ary system, we will deal with binary systems and note that for the M-ary system, the symbol error probability is bounded to within a factor of 2 by that of the binary system [15]. For a binary system the error probability, with the noise being a white Gaussian process of variance  $\sigma^2$ , is given by equation (5.6) :

$$P_2 = \frac{1}{2} E \left[ Q \left( \frac{s_1 + x_{c0} + y_{c0}}{\sigma} \right) + Q \left( \frac{-s_2 - x_{c0} - y_{c0}}{\sigma} \right) \right] \quad (5.6)$$

where

$s_1$  :  $s_{c0}$  given  $a_0=0$

$s_2$  :  $s_{c0}$  given  $a_0=\pi$

$Q$  : cumulative Gaussian distribution function

$E$  : expectation over  $x_{c0}, y_{c0}$

Thus the computational problem reduces to a conditional expectation of  $Q(\cdot)$ . The different methods attacking to this problem constitute the difference in various approaches.

## 5.2 Numerical Methods For Interference Calculations

The problem can be expressed as to evaluate a term

$$I = E \left[ Q \left( \frac{s+u}{\sigma} \right) \right] \quad (5.7a)$$

or equivalently

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} Q \left( \frac{s+u}{\sigma} \right) f(u) du \quad (5.7b)$$

$u$  represents the probabilistic interference terms and  $f(u)$  its pdf. Still

another equivalent form, using the characteristic function can be obtained, which is :

$$I = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^0 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 v^2\right) \exp\{-jv(x-s)\} dv dx \quad (5.7c)$$

where  $x$  and  $s$  represent the interference and the useful signal respectively.

### 5.2.1) Series Methods:

These approaches either start from (5.7a) and are based on a power series expansion of  $Q(\cdot)$  function or start from (5.7c) and consist of expanding the characteristic function. When the characteristic function expansion method is used, some fraction of interference power ( $\Delta$ ) is assigned to noise power and a reduced interference source is obtained, to speed up the convergence of the series [16]. But no constructive method to find  $\Delta$  is available.

### 5.2.2) Gaussian Quadrature Rules:

This approach approximates the integral in (5.7b) as:

$$I \approx \sum_{i=1}^L w_i Q\left(\frac{s+u_i}{\sigma}\right) \quad (5.8)$$

The set of pairs  $(w_i, u_i)$  is called a quadrature rule and can be derived from the first  $2L+1$  moments of  $u$  [15], [17]. The fact that it has the best convergence specifications among the methods of this type [17], and it is easily applicable to many situations, makes this approach more advantageous than the other ones. A method to obtain the moments of interference is presented in appendix A. Appendix B gives the description of a method to find quadrature rules.

### 5.2.3) Direct Averaging Method:

This approach is based on a per letter evaluation of equation (5.7b). This requires an explicit representation of pdf of interference. In general this is practically impossible. In special cases,  $f(u)$  is available in particular when the interfering signals are all angle modulated.

## **5.3 Bounding Approaches**

Because of the numerical complexity of the "exact" formulation, bounds which are perhaps less accurate but definitely easier to compute are proposed. Two of these bounding techniques are given below.

### 5.3.1) Chernoff Bound:

The point of departure of Chernoff bound is the inequality  $P_e \leq e^{g(\lambda)}$  where  $g(\lambda) = \ln E(e^{\lambda V})$ .  $\lambda$  is any positive integer and  $V$  is the decision variable. Although this upper bound is tighter than the worst case bound, its tightness decreases with increasing interference power [7].

### 5.3.2) Moment Space Bounds:

These are bounds obtained via an isomorphism theorem, from the theory of moment spaces. These upper and lower bounds are seen to be equivalent to upper and lower envelopes of some compact convex body generated from a set of kernel functions. The proposed method of the original paper [18] which takes only ISI into account, can be extended to include other interference effects by evaluating the moments of those interfering symbols using the technique which is given in appendix A. The tightness of the obtained bounds and the rapid convergence specifications make this method an interesting research subject.

## VI. NONLINEAR CHANNELS

### 6.1 Modeling of Nonlinearities in Simulations

Practical communication systems include nonlinear elements. Typical nonlinear elements are amplifiers. Their nonlinear behaviour becomes dominant when they are operated so as to extract the maximum power they are capable of delivering. This is just the case with satellite communications. The travelling wave tube (TWT) amplifiers at satellite transponders are operated at their maximum power output operating points. The nonlinearities can be modelled as in figure 6.1.



Figure 6.1 Modelling of a nonlinearity with memory [19]

The zero memory nonlinear device (ZMNL) is sandwiched between two narrowband filters  $H_1(f)$  and  $H_2(f)$ . The ZMNL can exhibit two kinds of nonlinear distortion effects on its input signal:

- 1) A nonlinear output-input power characteristic (amplitude modulation to amplitude modulation or AM/AM conversion)
- 2) A nonlinear output phase-input power characteristic (amplitude modulation to phase modulation or AM/PM conversion)

Those effects can be seen, considering the input-output relation of a ZMNL device. Suppose for the time being that  $h_{1comp}(t) = h_{2comp}(t) = \delta(t)$  where  $\delta(t)$  is the dirac delta function, then the relation between input and output is of the system in figure 6.1 can be expressed as in equation (6.1):

$$y_{comp}(t) = g(|x_{comp}(t)|) \exp[ j(f(x_{comp}(t)) + \arg(x_{comp}(t))) ] \quad (6.1)$$

Let

$$x_{\text{comp}}(t) = A \exp(j\theta) \quad (6.2)$$

then equation 6.1 can be rewritten as:

$$y_{\text{comp}}(t) = g(A) \exp[ j(f(A)+\theta) ] \quad (6.3)$$

In equation (6.3)  $g(A)$  represents the AM/AM conversion and  $f(A)$  the AM/PM conversion.

The inphase and quadrature channel representation of this nonlinearity is shown in figure 6.2 [20].




Figure 6.2 IQ-channel representation of nonlinearity [20]

Referring to figure 6.2 the input-output relation can be written as:

$$y_{\text{comp}}(t) = [ Z_I(A) + jZ_Q(A) ] \exp(j\theta) \quad (6.4)$$

The relation of  $Z_I(A)$  and  $Z_Q(A)$  with  $g(A)$  and  $f(A)$  are found to be:

$$g(A) = \sqrt{Z_I^2(A) + Z_Q^2(A)} \quad (6.5)$$

$$f(A) = \tan^{-1} \left[ \frac{Z_Q(A)}{Z_I(A)} \right] \quad (6.6)$$

When  $h_{1\text{comp}}(t)$  and  $h_{2\text{comp}}(t)$  have colored Fourier spectrum the nonlinearity will attain memory. We used Butterworth filters for  $h_{1\text{comp}}(t)$

and  $h_{2\text{comp}}(t)$  in our simulation program. Usually  $h_{2\text{comp}}(t)$  has smaller bandwidth than  $h_{1\text{comp}}(t)$ .

## 6.2 Nonlinearity Models

### 6.2.1) Bandpass Limiters:

The bandpass limiters introduce AM/AM conversion effects and have the input output characteristics shown in figure 6.3 [20].




Figure 6.3 Limiter characteristics [20]

$\epsilon$ , in the figure is the clipping point. When  $\epsilon=0$  all of the amplitude information in the input signal is lost. Then the limiter is called to be the hard limiter. If a predetection bandpass limiter is introduced preceding the detection filter which is otherwise matched to the received signal, the performance of the digital signal will decrease. Such a situation could arise in a communication satellite link where the limiting takes place in a repeater that detects the signal before transmitting it.

### 6.2.2) TWT Amplifiers

The high frequency, large output TWT amplifiers, used in satellite communications, exhibit both AM/AM and AM/PM conversion effects. The single carrier AM/AM and AM/PM conversion effects of an INTELSAT IV TWT, which is also the TWT nonlinearity model of SSNDC, are shown in figures 6.4 and 6.5. For low input levels the output power is essentially a linear function of the input power. As the input power increases, the output power increases nonlinearly until a point is reached where any additional input level increase results in a decreasing output power. This point is called the saturation point. The operation point of a TWT is given as the input or output power relative to saturation or back-off. One definition of saturation is that 11 dB decrease in input power will result in 7 dB decrease in output power. To maximize the available power out of a TWT, it is operated near saturation.




Figure 6.4 AM/AM characteristics of INTELSAT-IV TWT amplifier[21]




Figure 6.5 AM/PM characteristics of INTELSAT-IV TWT amplifier [21]

To simulate a TWT nonlinearity, samples of the quadrature curves are stored and used for specific input power, the outputs are obtained by interpolation.

Alternatively, approximations to these quadrature curves can be used on a "best fit" basis. For the INTELSAT IV TWT (Hughes Corp. 261H tube) these two envelope nonlinearities are given by a least square fit.

$$Z_1(A) = C_1 A e^{-C_2 A^2} I_0(C_2 A^2) \quad (6.7)$$

$$Z_0(A) = S_1 A e^{-S_2 A^2} I_1(S_2 A^2) \quad (6.8)$$

where

$I_0$  : modified Bessel function of zero'th order

$I_1$  : modified Bessel function of first order

$C_1 = 1.61245$

$S_1 = 1.71850$

$C_2 = 0.53557$

$$S_2=0.242218$$

Polynomial approximations could be used as well but more coefficients are required.

### 6.3 Volterra Series Representation of Nonlinearities

For nonlinearities that have memory, the Volterra series approach is appealing due to its generality and its clear relationship to a linear system impulse response. A Volterra series is a Taylor series with memory described by [19]:

$$Y(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n(t) \quad (6.9)$$

Here the system is assumed to have no constant (d.c.) response. Each term of order  $n$  is described by an  $n$ -fold convolution as:

$$Y_n(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_n(T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n) x(t-T_1) \dots x(t-T_n) dT_1 \dots dT_n \quad (6.10)$$

The first order term is the usual linear system response. An immediate problem with Volterra series is the justification of truncating the series at some order. The other problem is the complexity of finding the Volterra kernels denoted by  $h_n(T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n)$  in equation (6.10). These problems make a Volterra series model impractical for all but a very few applications in which the complexity and cost can be justified.

As an application of the Volterra model, consider the simplified block diagram of a digital satellite link with complex lowpass representation, shown in figure 6.6 [22] where  $(a_n)$  is the sequence of discrete independently identically distributed generally complex random variables.  $x(t)$  is the modulated signal:

$$x(t) = \sum_n a_n \delta(t-nT) \quad (6.11)$$

$s(t)$  is the overall impulse response of the linear filters preceding the nonlinearity.  $c(\cdot)$  is a ZMNL device with:

$$c(\cdot) = g(\cdot) \exp(jf(\cdot)) \quad (6.12)$$

$g(\cdot)$  and  $f(\cdot)$  are defined as in equation (6.3).  $u(t)$  is the impulse response of the filters following the nonlinearity.  $n_1(t)$  and  $n_2(t)$  are generally complex baseband Gaussian processes with zero mean and variances  $\sigma_1^2$  and  $\sigma_2^2$  (representing uplink and downlink noises respectively). First we will assume that  $n_1(t) = 0$ . Following a few straightforward steps, the relation between  $y(t)$  and  $x(t)$  can be expressed as in equation (6.13) (a complete description is given in [22] and [23]).

$$y(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_{2m+1}(T_1, \dots, T_{2m+1}) \prod_{i=1}^{m+1} x(t-T_i) \prod_{l=m+2}^{2m+1} x^*(t-T_l) dT_1 \dots dT_{2m+1} \quad (6.13)$$

Assume that the ZMNL can be represented by a Taylor series expansion:

$$c(A) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{2m+1} A^{2m+1} \quad (6.14)$$




Figure 6.6 Equivalent block diagram of a digital satellite link [22]

Only odd terms are present in equation (6.14) due to the bandpass nature of the nonlinearities. Using (6.14) the output of ZMNL can be represented as:

$$w(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{2m+1} z^{m+1}(t) z^{*m}(t) \quad (6.15)$$

Since:

$$z(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s(T) x(t-T) dT \quad (6.16)$$

and:

$$y(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(T) w(t-T) dT \quad (6.17)$$

After some straight forward steps, the following expression is obtained:

$$y(t) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{2m+1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(T) \prod_{r=1}^{m+1} s(T_r - T) \prod_{s=m+2}^{2m+1} s^*(T_s - T) \prod_{i=1}^{m+1} x(t - T_i) \prod_{l=m+2}^{2m+1} x^*(t - T_l) dT dT_1 \dots dT_{2m+1} \quad (6.18)$$

Comparing (6.18) with (6.13) one gets the low pass equivalent kernels:

$$h_{2m+1}(T_1, \dots, T_{2m+1}) = \gamma_{2m+1} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} u(T) \prod_{r=1}^{m+1} s(T_r - T) \prod_{s=m+2}^{2m+1} s^*(T_s - T) dT \quad (6.19)$$

The received signal  $r(t)$  is given by:

$$r(t) = y(t) + n_2(t) \quad (6.20)$$

Let  $y(t)$  be sampled at time  $t = t_0$  and defining:

$$H_{2m+1}(n_1, \dots, n_{2m+1}) = h_{2m+1}(t_0 - n_1 T_{\text{symbol}}, \dots, t_0 - n_{2m+1} T_{\text{symbol}}) \quad (6.21)$$

$$N_2 \equiv n_2(t_0) \quad (6.22)$$

$$R \equiv r(t_0) \quad (6.23)$$

Remembering that  $x(t)$  is given in equation (6.11) one can write  $R$  as follows:

$$R = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty} \dots \sum_{n_{2m+1}=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{n_1} \dots a_{n_{m+1}} a_{n_{m+2}}^* \dots a_{n_{2m+1}}^* H_{2m+1}(n_1, \dots, n_{2m+1}) + N_2 \quad (6.24)$$

The decision device operates on samples of the in-phase and quadrature components of  $R$ . From (6.24) we can extract all the terms containing only the transmitted symbol  $a_0$  which contributes to form the useful sample  $R_0$ :

$$R_0 \equiv R_{0P} + jR_{0Q} = a_0 \sum_{m=0}^{m_M} |a_0|^{2m} H_{2m+1}(0, 0, \dots, 0) \quad (6.25)$$

$m_M$  in (6.25) is a suitable number to stop the summation which is found to be 3 for TWT nonlinearity. Letting  $P=R-R_0$  equation (6.24) becomes:

$$R = (R_{0P} + P_P + N_{2P}) + j (R_{0Q} + P_Q + N_{2Q}) \quad (6.26)$$

The error probability can be evaluated in a similar manner as in the case with linear interference problem discussed in chapter 6. The complete description of this method is given in [23].

For nonlinear digital communication systems which contain one nonlinear element, the results of this section can be utilized to obtain symbol error probability curves in a shorter time compared to a simulation.

## VII. TECHNIQUES OF BER ESTIMATION

The definition of digital links performance commonly used is the bit error rate (BER), or the bit error probability. To arrive at an estimate of BER basically two different approaches exist. The first one, which we might refer as analytical, is strictly based upon manipulation of equations. It is still computer-aided, however as closed-form solutions are not available. The advantage of these approaches is their speed and their disadvantage is the analytical intractability when the system under examination gets more complex. Even for the nonlinear systems analytical methods exist, [22], [23] but they seem to be limited with very particular cases.

The second class of approaches are simulation-based which may be further divided into the following groups [24]:

- a) Monte Carlo (M.C.) simulation
- b) modified M.C. simulation also referred to as importance sampling
- c) extreme value theory (classical and generalized)
- d) tail extrapolation
- e) hybrid simulation/analysis (quasi-analytical)

Before discussing these methods, it is useful to mention the decision process shortly. The decision process can be described in terms of the probability density functions (pdf),  $f_0(Q)$  and  $f_1(Q)$ , of the input voltage at the sampling instant, given that a "zero" or a "one" is sent respectively. These densities are sketched in figure 7.1.




Figure 7.1. Hypothetical probability density functions [24]

For a simple threshold-sensing decision device an error will occur when a "zero" is sent and the voltage at the input of the decision device exceeds threshold voltage,  $V_T$  or a "one" is sent and disturbances cause the voltage to drop below  $V_T$ . These probabilities are given as follows:

$$\text{Prob [error/one]} = p_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{V_T} f_1(v) dv = F_1(V_T) \quad (7.1a)$$

$$\text{Prob [error/zero]} = p_0 = \int_{V_T}^{\infty} f_0(v) dv = 1 - F_0(V_T) \quad (7.1b)$$

The average probability is then

$$P = \text{Prob[one]} p_1 + \text{Prob[zero]} p_0$$

The functions  $F_0(\cdot)$  and  $F_1(\cdot)$  are evidently the cumulative distribution functions (CDF). Generally one may make assumptions or deal directly with the CDF or pdf depending upon the estimation technique. In either case, it is only a small region of these functions, namely "the tails", that we are interested in.

The M.C. method makes no a priori assumptions and in that sense, it is the most general of the techniques. It supplies an empirical determination of distribution functions evaluated at a single point. Because it is the most

general, it is the computationally most costly of these methods. This cost is related to the number of observations for a reliable estimate of BER that we are interested in.

Some of the techniques listed before are applicable to the simulation, while others are limited to monitoring cases. A basic distinction between these two cases is that monitoring implies lack of knowledge of the actual transmitted sequence while reverse is true for simulation. Hence, methods c and d are applicable to monitoring. The MC method, of course emulates the conventional laboratory BER measurement method, using a known transmitted sequence. It is not suitable for monitoring unless, the operational environment provides for periodic sequences. Methods b and e are not suitable for a physical counterpart.

Since we are primarily concerned with simulation, we will discuss the methods a, b, and e.

## 7.1 Monte Carlo Method

Let us assume that a "zero" is sent, so that 1 b) applies. Then:

$$p_0 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_0(v) f_0(v) dv \quad (7.2)$$

Where

$$h_0(v) = \begin{cases} 1 & v \geq v_T \\ 0 & v < v_T \end{cases}$$

A natural estimator  $\hat{p}_0$  is the sample mean

$$\hat{p}_0 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N h_0(v_i) \quad (7.3)$$

If  $N$  bits are processed through the system, out of which  $n$  are observed to be in error, a simple unbiased estimator of the BER is the sample mean

$$\hat{p} = \frac{n}{N} \tag{7.4}$$

In the limit when  $N \Rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\hat{p}$  will tend to true value  $p$ . For finite  $N$ , we quantify the reliability of the estimator in terms of confidence intervals. Two numbers  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  are searched, functions of  $p$ , such that for given high error probability,  $h_2 \leq p \leq h_1$  and the confidence interval  $h_1 - h_2$  be as small as possible. The confidence level,  $1 - \alpha$  is defined through the relation

$$\text{Prob} [ h_2 \leq p \leq h_1 ] = 1 - \alpha \tag{7.5}$$

The confidence levels for M.C. methods are shown in figure 7.2.




Figure 7.2 Confidence bands on BER when observed value is  $10^{-k}$   
(Monte Carlo technique) [24]

## 7.2 Importance Sampling

The important events, namely errors are caused very rarely by the underlying noise processes. The simulation efficiency could be enhanced if errors could be made artificially to occur more often in an invertible way, such that the true BER could be obtained from the inflated one. This is the idea behind importance sampling. Let us modify the equation (7.2) as follows [13], [24]:

$$p_0 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ h_0(v) \frac{f_0(0)}{f_0^*(v)} \right] f_0^*(v) dv \quad (7.6)$$

$f_0^*$  is another probability density function of the same type with  $f_0(0)$ , but with a higher variance. Denoting the term within brackets as  $h_0^*(v)$ , the new estimator is given by:

$$\hat{p}_0^* = \frac{1}{N^*} \sum_{i=1}^{N^*} h_0^*(v_i) \quad (7.7)$$

## 7.3 Hybrid Simulation/Analysis

In this method the thermal noise is omitted and simulation is used only to obtain the statistics of all other sources of distortion and interference. The effect of thermal noise is then added analytically and the average error rate is calculated.

When the demodulation and detection process is nonlinear, direct simulation (Monte Carlo Method) is the only choice. Such cases include envelope detection of FSK signals. However with coherent phase shift keying

(CPSK) systems the hybrid simulation/analysis, also referred to as quasi-analytic method is applicable [25], [26].

The distinction between these two approaches is shown in figure 7.3 [25].




Figure 7.3 Pure Monte Carlo and quasi-analytic simulations [24]

The upper and lower models illustrate direct simulation and quasi-analytical methods, respectively. With quasi-analytical methods the average  $P_e$  is calculated as:

$$\overline{P(\mathcal{E})} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{l=1}^N P(\mathcal{E}|X_l, Y_l) \quad (7.8)$$

where  $N$  is the number of symbols in the simulation run and  $P(\mathcal{E}|X_l, Y_l)$  is the error probability given a particular value of decision metric  $(X_l, Y_l)$  on the  $l$ 'th symbol.

Referring to the QPSK model shown in the lower part of figure 7.3, the samples  $(X_1, Y_1)$  are plotted as a noise free scatter diagram. For a perfect channel, exhibiting no interference and other distortions, the points should lie on top of each other. Such a diagram should give ideal (theoretical) performance. For a single scatter point the conditional probability of bit error is given as:

$$P(\epsilon|X_1, Y_1) = \frac{1}{2} \left[ Q \left( \sqrt{\frac{2E_s X_1^2}{\bar{P} N_0 B_N T_s}} \right) + Q \left( \sqrt{\frac{2E_s Y_1^2}{\bar{P} N_0 B_N T_s}} \right) \right] \quad (7.9)$$

where:

$N_0$  : noise density at the input to the receiver

$E_s$  : energy per QPSK symbol ( $E_s = 2E_b$ )

$B_N T_s$  : product of receive modem noise bandwidth and the symbol duration

$\bar{P}$  is the mean square value of detected samples given by:

$$\bar{P} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N (X_i^2 + Y_i^2) \quad (7.10)$$

## VIII. SOFTWARE SSNDC

### 8.1 Linear System Simulations

The software SSNDC is capable of performing linear transmission system simulations in a few seconds, relying on the hybrid simulation/analysis method discussed in chapter 6. Figure 8.1 shows the possible blocks in a linear system simulation.




Figure 8.1 Linear transmission system of SSNDC

When the linear transmission system is being configured, the user is let to choose for each module from the available set of model library.

First the length of the symbol sequence and number of samples per a symbol is entered.

The available modulation types are:

- a) BPSK
- b) QPSK
- c) OK-QPSK
- d) MSK

The modulators are subject to the following realization imperfections:

- a) amplitude imbalance
- b) phase imbalance

The available linear transmitter (TX)-filters are:

- a) Phase equalized Butterworth
- b) Butterworth
- c) Chebychev

These filters are reentrant. The linear TX-filter module in figure 8.1 (the linear filter succeeding the modulator) can be configured by a cascade combination of these filters. A cascade combination of two filters of the same type is also possible.

The available linear distortion models are:

- a) Linear amplitude distortion
- b) Parabolic amplitude distortion
- c) Ripple amplitude distortion type I
- d) Ripple amplitude distortion type II
- e) Linear group delay
- f) Parabolic group delay
- g) Ripple group delay type I
- h) Ripple group delay type II

The linear distortion module in figure 8.1 can be configured from a cascade combination of these filters, each one of them being included only once, since they are not reentrant.

The possible linear receiver (RX)-filters are same as linear TX-filters and the linear RX-filter module in figure 8.1 can be also configured by a cascade combination of these filters. The only difference is, that the noise power after passing this filter must be calculated.

There are four different demodulators matched to the signals at the corresponding modulator outputs. These demodulators are subject to the following realization imperfections:

- a) static phase error
- b) sampling time error

For BPSK systems a Butterworth detection filter can be utilized instead of matched filter.

## 8.2 Nonlinear System Simulations

The model for nonlinear system simulations by SSNDC is shown in figure 8.2.




Figure 8.2 Nonlinear transmission system of SSNDC

Until the AWGN  $n_1$  is added to the signal, this model follows the same steps as in the linear case.  $n_1$  is generated by the Gaussnoise generating routine. Without changing the symbol sequence the simulation is repeated until the confidence level attains a satisfactory value.

The linear filters before and after ZMNL are chosen from the set in the linear system simulation. The possible ZMNL devices are:

- a) Hard Limiter
- b) Clipper
- c) TWT

These nonlinear devices are generated according to the principles which are presented in chapter 6. The demodulators are matched to the corresponding modulator outputs.

### 8.3 System Configurator of SSNDC

The flow diagram of a system configuration session with SSNDC is given in the following figures.




Figure 8.3 a) First part of system configuration session with SSNDC




Figure 8.3 b) Second part of system configuration session with SSNDC (linear system)




Figure 8.3 c) Second part of system configuration session with SSNDC (nonlinear system)

## IX. RESULTS OBTAINED BY SSND

### 9.1 General

The results we have obtained by our simulation program, are in general similar to the ones given in [5] and [4]. For phase imbalances in BPSK and QPSK our results given in figures 9.1 and 9.4 are just the same as their counterparts in [4]. For amplitude imbalances we have found a different expression for degradation and our result matches to this expression given in equation (3.8). The effect of these two realization imperfections are found to be additive which can be justified, examining figure 9.3. The effect of amplitude imbalance in BPSK and QPSK are given in figures 9.2 and 9.5 respectively.

For linear delay distortions, the crosstalk introduced between the inphase and quadrature channels caused larger degradation in QPSK than in BPSK as discussed in chapter 4. , which is shown in figure 9.6. For quadratic delay distortions in BPSK and QPSK same amount of degradation is observed. But, introduction of a 0.1 dB ripple 3rd order Chebychev filter worsened the situation (figure 9.7), in contradiction to the results of [5] given in figure 4.2.

The effect of parabolic amplitude distortion in BPSK and QPSK are shown in figure 9.8.

The degradation caused by 0.1 dB ripple 5th order Chebychev filter is shown in figure 9.10. Our result shows a great amount of degradation. A relatively smaller degradation is observed when the signalling rate equals to the critical frequency of the filter (i.e.  $BT=1$ ). This was not a surprise considering the increased matching between the signals and the filters frequency responses.

For imperfect demodulator structures, figures 9.9 and 9.11 are obtained which show the degradations due to demodulator static phase error and delayed sampling times for various modulation schemes. Our results are similar to the theoretical results. Observe that, in figure 9.9 for demodulator static phase error of 45 degrees (50 per cent), 3 dB degradation is resulted in agreement with the expression in equation (3.20). Figure 9.12 which shows the effect of sampling time error, is also in close agreement with the corresponding curves in figure 3.5 although only small sampling time shifts are considered in the later one.

Detection of BPSK with 2nd order Butterworth filters is considered in figure 9.11. Our result was quite similar to the results formerly obtained in [5] given in figure 3.6.

For nonlinear system simulations the OK-QPSK signals performance with TWT amplifier nonlinearity sandwiched between two Butterworth filters are considered. The probability of bit error curves for different values of uplink SNR are given in figure 9.13. For increased values of downlink SNR, the dominance of uplink AWGN and the resulting bottoming effect is observed. For TWT amplifier at saturation and with removed nonlinearity the systems performance did not change practically although with increased backoff the performance decreases.

## 9.2 Figures




Figure 9.1 Degradation due to phase imbalance in BPSK




Figure 9.2 Degradation due to amplitude imbalance in BPSK




Figure 9.3 Degradation due to combined effects of phase and amplitude imbalance in BPSK




Figure 9.4 Degradation due to phase imbalance in QPSK




Figure 9.5 Degradation due to amplitude imbalance in QPSK




Figure 9.6 Effects of linear delay distortion on the system performance with QPSK and BPSK modulations at  $P_{be} = 10^{-6}$




Figure 9.7 Effects of prefiltering with 0.1 dB ripple 3rd order Chebychev filter on the quadratic delay distortion imposed on QPSK




Figure 9.8 Parabolic amplitude distortion




Figure 9.9 Demodulator Static Phase Error




Figure 9.10 Effect of .1 dB ripple 5th order Chebychev filter on probability of error




Figure 9.11 Detection of BPSK with 2nd order Butterworth filter




Figure 9.12 : Degradation due to Sampling Time Error




Figure 9.13 Nonlinear system response (TWT) at saturation

## X. CONCLUSION

In this thesis various channel models for digital communication systems are analyzed and in order to investigate the performance of digital communication systems under influence of such disturbing sources, a simulation software package called SSNDC-Semianalytic Simulator of Nonlinear Digital Channels- is implemented on Microvax II under VMS operating system, using standard FORTRAN.

Various CPSK (Coherent Phase Shift Keying) modulation types can be simulated by SSNDC. To shorten the CPU time, all of the bandpass signals and filters are generated as complex lowpass equivalents of their bandpass counterparts. Further the effect of AWGN (additive white Gaussian noise) is included analytically which makes a simulation with a short information sequence possible for evaluation of symbol error probability curves under influence of several disturbing sources.

The modulators and demodulators of SSNDC are subject to realization imperfections which are considered in chapter 3. Both linear and nonlinear channels can be simulated. Linear channel models of SSNDC include several possible linear distortion filters which introduce amplitude and phase distortions into the system and some commonly used linear filters, such as Butterworth and Chebychev filters. Nonlinear channel models of SSNDC are ZMNL (zero memory nonlinear) devices, introducing one or both of the possible nonlinear distortions which are AM/AM and AM/PM conversions. Linear and nonlinear filtering operations are performed in frequency and time domains respectively.

Relying on the quasi-analytic simulation method, SSNDC can be used to obtain probability of error curves for CPSK systems operating over linear or nonlinear channels in a few seconds.

## 10.1 Suggestions for Future Work

The progress in integrated circuit design and computer manufacturing technology made it possible, to implement simulation programs which required a large amount of CPU time formerly, on personal computers and to get results at reasonable time periods. The availability and economical convenience of personal computers make using them very desirable. Also using a medium level computer language like " C " the speed and portability of the simulation software may be increased.

In this thesis the Volterra series representation of nonlinearities is presented. Using this representation analytical solutions to limited amount of nonlinear systems can be obtained.

We have utilized quasi-analytical simulation technique to get the simulation results in a short time. Another possible technique to achieve this, is importance sampling which is also an active research field.

The moment space bounding technique, mentioned in chapter 5., is another interesting subject. When the interchannel interference effects are reduced to the same level as intersymbol interference effects, by the method described in appendix A, the performance of digital communication systems under the influence of all possible interferences can be calculated. The bounds obtained by this method are very tight and their convergence is rapid.

In this thesis linear and nonlinear channels in AWGN environment are considered. Other channel models which are to be implemented, are stochastic channels such as troposcatter channels and noise sources with other distributions such as impulsive noise and noise with a Ricean density function.

Currently not implemented linear filters should also be included in the model library according to the requirements.

Other features of a digital communications simulation package include various source and channel encoding capabilities and other modulation schemes [11], [26].

## APPENDIX A

### Obtaining The Moments Of Interference

Let us rank from 1 to M, the M statistically independent interfering samples that are significantly different from zero, so that the interference X is given by [28]

$$X = \sum_{h=1}^M X_h \quad (\text{A1})$$

let us define the partial sum

$$Y_n = \sum_{h=1}^n X_h \quad (\text{A2})$$

note that

$$Y_M = X \quad (\text{A3})$$

The j'th moment of X is given as

$$E[X^j] = E[Y_M^j] \quad (\text{A4})$$

because of the statistical independence of the interference terms

$$E[Y_{n+1}^j] = E[(Y_n + X_{n+1})^j] \quad (\text{A5})$$

$$= \sum_{h=0}^j \binom{j}{h} E[Y_n^h] E[X_{n+1}^{j-h}] \quad (\text{A6})$$

often  $X_n$  are even random variables, in this case

$$E[X^{2j+1}] = 0 \quad j \geq 0 \quad (\text{A7})$$

$$E[X^{2j}] = \sum_{h=0}^j \binom{2j}{2h} E[Y_{M-1}^{2h}] E[X_M^{2j-2h}] \quad (\text{A8})$$

Let  $X_h$  be a function of  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_i$ , where  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_i$  are also random variables.

The samples  $X_h$  are not in general independent due to the same  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_i$ , but they become statistically independent for constant values of  $\alpha_i$  and  $\beta_i$ . So, the above procedure can be used in the computation of the conditional

moments

$$E \left[ \left( \sum_{h=1}^M X_h \right)^j \mid \alpha_i, \beta_i \right] \quad (\text{A9})$$

which results in the equation

$$E \left[ \left( \sum_{h=1}^M X_h \right)^j \right] = \iint E \left[ \left( \sum_{h=1}^M X_h \right)^j \mid \alpha_i, \beta_i \right] dF(\alpha_i) dF(\beta_i) \quad (\text{A10})$$

Evaluating the double integral in equation (A10), the moments of  $X$  can be calculated.

## APPENDIX B

### Obtaining The Quadrature Rules From The Moments

Let

$$\int_a^b f(x) \omega(x) dx \approx \sum_{i=1}^m \omega_i f(x_i) \quad (B1)$$

the k'th moment of x is given as [29]:

$$\mu^k = \int_a^b x^k \omega(x) dx \quad k=0,1,\dots,2N \quad (B2)$$

are known.

Then the Gram matrix M of the moments is formed [23], [29], [30], whose

entries are given as  $(M)_{ij} = \mu^{i+j}$

$$i, j=0, 1, \dots, N \quad (B3)$$

The Cholesky decomposition is performed on M, such that

$$M = R^T R \quad (B4)$$

where R is a upper triangular matrix with positive entries found as

$$r_{ii} = \left( m_{ii} - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} r_{ki}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (B5)$$

$$r_{ij} = \frac{\left( m_{ij} - \sum_{k=1}^{i-1} r_{ki} r_{kj} \right)}{r_{ii}} \quad i < j \quad (B6)$$

Using the entries of matrix R, new variables are obtained, given as

$$\alpha_j = \frac{r_{j,j+1}}{r_{j,j}} - \frac{r_{j-1,j}}{r_{j-1,j-1}} \quad j=1,2,\dots,N \quad (B7)$$

$$\beta_j = \frac{r_{j+1,j+1}}{r_{j,j}} \quad j=1,2,\dots,N-1$$

with  $r_{0,0}=1$  and  $r_{0,1}=0$ .



**APPENDIX C****Program Listing of SSNDC**

	<u>page</u>
Main Program	83
System Configurator	84
Nonlinear System Simulator	92
Gaussnoisegenerator	96
Linear System Simulator	97
Phase Compensator	100
TWT Amplifier	101
Modified Bessel Function Of 0'th Order	102
Modified Bessel Function Of 1'st Order	103
Colored Noise Power Calculator	104
Sampling Time Shifter	105
Equalized Butterworth Filter	106
Butterworth Filter	107
Chebychev Filter	108
Hard Limiter	109
Clipper	110
Constants	111
Initialize	112
Maximum Length Complete Sequence Generator	113
BPSK Modulator	114
BPSK Demodulator	115
QPSK Modulator	117
QPSK Demodulator	118
OK-QPSK Modulator	120

	<u>page</u>
OK-QPSK Demodulator	121
MSK Modulator	123
MSK Demodulator	124
Fast Fourier Transformer	126
Linear Amplitude Distortion	128
Parabolic Amplitude Distortion	129
Ripple Amplitude Distortion Type I	130
Ripple Amplitude Distortion Type II	131
Linear Group Delay	132
Parabolic Group Delay	133
Ripple Group Delay Type I	134
Ripple Group Delay Type II	135
PRBS Generator	136
Complementary Error Function	139
Time Begin	140
Time End	140

```
PROGRAM SSND
COMMON /C11/ MODTYPE
COMMON /C66/ ISYSTEMTYPE
OPEN(UNIT=1,FILE='RESULT.DAT',STATUS='UNKNOWN')
OPEN(UNIT=2,FILE='PLOT.DAT',STATUS='UNKNOWN')
CALL CONST
CALL SYSTEM_CONFIGURATOR
CALL INITIALIZE
PRINT*,CHAR(27)//'(2J'
IF(MODTYPE.EQ.11)THEN
  WRITE(1,*)'      BPSK SIMULATION'
  WRITE(6,*)'      BPSK SIMULATION'
ELSE IF(MODTYPE.EQ.12)THEN
  WRITE(1,*)'      QPSK SIMULATION'
  WRITE(6,*)'      QPSK SIMULATION'
ELSE IF(MODTYPE.EQ.13)THEN
  WRITE(1,*)'      OK-QPSK SIMULATION'
  WRITE(6,*)'      OK-QPSK SIMULATION'
ELSE IF(MODTYPE.EQ.14)THEN
  WRITE(1,*)'      MSK SIMULATION'
  WRITE(6,*)'      MSK SIMULATION'
ENDIF

IF(ISYSTEMTYPE.EQ.1)CALL LINEAR_SYSTEM_SIMULATOR
IF(ISYSTEMTYPE.EQ.2)CALL NONLINEAR_SYSTEM_SIMULATOR
END
```

=====

SUBROUTINE SYSTEM\_CONFIGURATOR

C this subroutine inputs the parameters from the keyboard

CHARACTER\*1 CH  
CHARACTER\*2 CHA

INTEGER INPUTDATA(4096)  
COMPLEX SIGNAL(16384)  
COMPLEX GAUSSNOISE(16384)  
INTEGER OUTPUTDATA(4096)  
INTEGER\*4 RANDOMNUMBER(2)  
CHARACTER\*1 PLOTCONTROL  
CHARACTER\*1 AMGNBEFORE  
INTEGER REGISTER(24)  
CHARACTER\*1 ERRORTYPE  
DIMENSION TRANSFERFUNC(16384)  
COMPLEX FFTOUT(16384)  
COMPLEX NOISE(16384)  
REAL COLOREDNOISE3(128)  
DIMENSION LFILTERORDER(10)  
DIMENSION CRITICALFREQ(10)  
DIMENSION LFILTERNUMBER(10)  
DIMENSION NLFILTERNUMBER(10)  
DIMENSION PASSBANDRIPPLE(10)

COMMON /C1/ PI,P12  
COMMON /C2/ INPUTDATA  
COMMON /C3/ NUMSAM  
COMMON /C4/ NBITS  
COMMON /C7/ SIGNAL  
COMMON /C9/ GAUSSNOISE  
COMMON /C10/ RANDOMNUMBER  
COMMON /C11/ MODTYPE  
COMMON /C12/ OUTPUTDATA  
COMMON /C14/ REGISTER  
COMMON /C15/ NREG  
COMMON /C16/ DELTASNR  
COMMON /C17/ NERROR  
COMMON /C18/ ERRORRATIO  
COMMON /C19/ ERRORTYPE  
COMMON /C20/ SAMINT  
COMMON /C21/ BITLENGTH  
COMMON /C23/ SAMFREQ  
COMMON /C24/ TRANSFERFUNC  
COMMON /C29/ FFTOUT  
COMMON /C30/ PLOTCONTROL  
COMMON /C33/ PHASEOFFSET  
COMMON /C34/ AMPLITUDEOFFSET  
COMMON /C35/ LFILTERNUMBER  
COMMON /C36/ CRITICALFREQ  
COMMON /C37/ LFILTERORDER  
COMMON /C38/ ALIN  
COMMON /C39/ APAR  
COMMON /C40/ ARS,NSRIP  
COMMON /C41/ ARC,NCRIP  
COMMON /C42/ GLIN  
COMMON /C43/ GPAR  
COMMON /C44/ GRS,NGSRIP  
COMMON /C45/ GRC,NGCRIP  
COMMON /C51/ PASSBANDRIPPLE  
COMMON /C55/ SIGNALPOWER

```

COMMON /C56/ NLFILTEANUMBER
COMMON /C57/ DEMOD_PHASEERROR
COMMON /C58/ NOISE
COMMON /C60/ LSHIFT
COMMON /C61/ COLOREDNOISE3
COMMON /C62/ COLOREDNOISEPOWER
COMMON /C63/ IDETECTIONTYPE
COMMON /C64/ EQUIVNOISEBANDW
COMMON /C65/ BACKOFF
COMMON /C66/ ISYSTEMTYPE
COMMON /C67/ AWGNBEFORE
COMMON /C75/ UPSNR
COMMON /C76/ ISNRINITIAL
COMMON /C77/ ISNREND
COMMON /C78/ ISNR

```

```

PRINT*, CHAR(27)//' [2J'
PRINT*, '#####'
PRINT*, '      ENTER NUMBER OF SYMBOLS GENERATED (power of 2)?'
PRINT*
PRINT*, '#####'
PRINT*
READ(5,*)NBITS

```

```

PRINT*, CHAR(27)//' [2J'
PRINT*, '#####'
PRINT*, '      ENTER NUMBER OF SAMPLES/SYMBOL (power of 2)?'
PRINT*
PRINT*, '#####'
PRINT*
READ(5,*)NUMSAM
BITLENGTH=1.
SAMFREQ=REAL(NUMSAM)
SAMINT=BITLENGTH/REAL(NUMSAM)

```

```

PRINT*, CHAR(27)//' [2J'
PRINT*, '#####'
PRINT*, '      CHOOSE THE TYPE OF THE MODULATION '
PRINT*
PRINT*, ' 11 ..... BPSK'
PRINT*, ' 12 ..... QPSK'
PRINT*, ' 13 ..... OK-QPSK'
PRINT*, ' 14 ..... MSK'
PRINT*, '#####'
PRINT*
READ(5,*)MOOTYPE

```

```

PRINT*, CHAR(27)//' [2J'
PRINT*, '#####'
PRINT*, '      CHOOSE THE SYSTEM TYPE'
PRINT*
PRINT*, ' 1 ..... LINEAR'
PRINT*, ' 2 ..... NONLINEAR'
PRINT*, '#####'
PRINT*
READ(5,*) ISYSTEMTYPE

```

```

PRINT*, CHAR(27)//' [2J'
PRINT*, '#####'
PRINT*
PRINT*, '      ENTER THE FOLLOWING VALUES FOR '
PRINT*, '      IF( ISYSTEMTYPE.EQ.2)THEN

```

```

PRINT*, '          DOWNLINK NOISE in (dB)'
ELSE
PRINT*, '          AWGN in (dB)'
ENDIF
PRINT*, '#####'
WRITE(6,*)'INITIAL SNR = ?'
READ(5,*)ISNRINITIAL
WRITE(6,*)'FINAL SNR = ?'
READ(5,*)ISNREND
WRITE(6,*)'SNR STEP = ?'
READ(5,*)ISNR

600  IF(MODTYPE.GE.11.AND.MODTYPE.LE.14)THEN
501  PRINT*,CHAR(27)//'[2J'
PRINT*, '#####'
PRINT*, ' ANY REALIZATION IMPERFECTIONS IN MODEMS (Y/N) ?'
PRINT*, '#####'
502  READ(5,110)CH
IF(CH.EQ.'Y')THEN
500  PRINT*, 'ENTER YOUR CHOICE'
PRINT*
PRINT*, ' 1.....PHASE UNBALANCE'
PRINT*, ' 2.....AMPLITUDE UNBALANCE'
PRINT*, ' 3.....DEMODULATOR PHASE ERROR'
PRINT*, ' 4.....SAMPLING TIME ERROR'
READ(5,110)CH
IF(CH.EQ.'1')THEN
510  PRINT*, 'ENTER NORMALIZED PHASE UNBALANCE'
PRINT*, '  MINIMUM = 0.0'
PRINT*, '  MAXIMUM = 1.0'
READ(5,*)PHASEOFFSET
IF(PHASEOFFSET.LT.0..OR.PHASEOFFSET.GT.1.)GOTO 510
ELSE IF(CH.EQ.'2')THEN
520  PRINT*, 'ENTER NORMALIZED AMPLITUDE UNBALANCE'
PRINT*, '  MINIMUM = 0.0'
PRINT*, '  MAXIMUM = 1.0'
READ(5,*)AMPLITUDEOFFSET
IF(AMPLITUDEOFFSET.LT.0..OR.AMPLITUDEOFFSET.GT.1.)
$GOTO 520
ELSE IF(CH.EQ.'3')THEN
525  PRINT*, 'ENTER NORMALIZED DEMODULATOR PHASE ERROR'
PRINT*, '  MINIMUM = 0.0'
PRINT*, '  MAXIMUM = 1.0'
READ(5,*)DEMOD_PHASEERROR
IF(DEMOD_PHASEERROR.LT.0..OR.DEMOD_PHASEERROR.GT.1.)
$GOTO 525
ELSE IF(CH.EQ.'4')THEN
527  PRINT*, 'ENTER SAMPLING TIME ERROR IN NUMBER OF SAMPLES'
PRINT*, '  MINIMUM = 0'
PRINT*, '  MAXIMUM = ',NUMSAM
READ(5,*)LSHIFT
IF(LSHIFT.LT.0.OR.LSHIFT.GT.NUMSAM)
$GOTO 527
ELSE
GOTO 500
ENDIF
PRINT*, ' PHASE IMBALANCE           =',PHASEOFFSET
PRINT*, ' AMPLITUDE IMBALANCE        =',AMPLITUDEOFFSET
PRINT*, ' DEMODULATOR PHASE ERROR   =',DEMOD_PHASEERROR
PRINT*, ' SAMPLING TIME SHIFT        =',LSHIFT,' SAMPLES'
PRINT*

```

```

PRINT*, ' ANY CHANGE IN THESE VALUES (Y/N) ?'
GOTO 502
530 IF(CH.NE.'N')GOTO 501
ENDIF
PRINT*,CHAR(27)//' [2J'
ENDIF

IF(ISYSTEMTYPE.EQ.2)THEN
PRINT*,CHAR(27)//' [2J'
PRINT*, '*****'
PRINT*
PRINT*, ' AWGN BEFORE THE NONLINEARITY (Y/N) ?'
PRINT*, '*****'
READ(5,110)CH
IF(CH.EQ.'Y') THEN
AWGNBEFORE=CH
DO K=1,2
PRINT*,CHAR(27)//' [2J'
PRINT*, '*****'
PRINT*
PRINT*, ' ENTER A 5-DIGIT ODD NUMBER'
PRINT*
PRINT*, '*****'
READ(5,*)RANDOMNUMBER(K)
END DO
PRINT*,CHAR(27)//' [2J'
PRINT*, '*****'
PRINT*
PRINT*, ' ENTER UPLINK SNR in (dB)'
PRINT*
PRINT*, '*****'
PRINT*
READ(*,*)UPSNR
WRITE(1,*)' UPLINK SNR =',UPSNR,' (dB)'
ENDIF
ENDIF

PRINT*,CHAR(27)//' [2J'
PRINT*, '*****'
PRINT*
561 IF(ISYSTEMTYPE.EQ.2)THEN
PRINT*, ' ANY LINEAR FILTERING BEFORE NONLINEARITY (Y/N) ?'
ELSE
PRINT*, ' ANY LINEAR TRANSMITTER FILTERING (Y/N) ?'
ENDIF
PRINT*, '*****'
KK=0
560 READ(5,110)CH
IF(CH.EQ.'Y')THEN
KK=KK+1
PRINT*, 'ENTER YOUR CHOICE'
PRINT*
PRINT*, ' 1.....EQUALIZED BUTTERWORTH FILTER'
PRINT*, ' 2.....BUTTERWORTH FILTER'
PRINT*, ' 3.....CHEBYCHEV FILTER'

READ(5,*)ICHOICE
IF(ICHOICE.EQ.1)THEN
LFILTERNUMBER(KK)=1
ELSE IF(ICHOICE.EQ.2)THEN
LFILTERNUMBER(KK)=2
ELSE IF(ICHOICE.EQ.3)THEN

```

```

LFILTERNUMBER(KK)=3
PRINT*, ' ENTER PEAK VALUE OF RIPPLE in (dB)'
READ(5,*)PASSBANDRIPPLE(KK)
ENDIF

```

```

PRINT*, ' ENTER ORDER OF FILTER'
READ(5,*)LFILTERORDER(KK)
WRITE(1,*)'LFILTERORDER=',LFILTERORDER(KK)
PRINT*, ' ENTER NORMALIZED CRITICAL FREQUENCY'
READ(5,*)CRITICALFREQ(KK)
WRITE(1,*)'CRITICALFREQ=',CRITICALFREQ(KK)

```

```

IF(1SYSTEMTYPE.EQ.2)THEN
  GOTO 680
ELSE
  PRINT*, ' ANY OTHER LINEAR TRANSMITTER FILTERING (Y/N) ?'
  GOTO 560
ENDIF

```

```

ELSE IF(CH.NE.'N')THEN
  GOTO 560
ENDIF

```

```

IF(1SYSTEMTYPE.NE.2)GOTO 700

```

680

```

NKK=0
NKK=NKK+1
PRINT*, CHAR(27)//' [2J'
PRINT*, '*****'
PRINT*
PRINT*, ' ENTER NONLINEARITY MODEL'
PRINT*, '*****'
PRINT*
PRINT*, ' 1.....CLIPPER'
PRINT*, ' 2.....HARD LIMITER'
PRINT*, ' 3.....TWT'

READ(5,*)ICHOICE
IF(1CHOICE.EQ.1)THEN
  NLFILTERNUMBER(NKK)=1
ELSE IF(1CHOICE.EQ.2)THEN
  NLFILTERNUMBER(NKK)=2
ELSE IF(1CHOICE.EQ.3)THEN
  NLFILTERNUMBER(NKK)=3
  PRINT*, ' ENTER INPUTPOWER BACKOFF in (dB)'
  READ(5,*)BACKOFF
ENDIF

```

```

PRINT*, CHAR(27)//' [2J'
PRINT*, '*****'
PRINT*
PRINT*, ' ANY LINEAR FILTERING AFTER NONLINEARITY (Y/N) ?'
PRINT*, '*****'
READ(5,110)CH
IF(CH.EQ.'Y')THEN

```

860

```

  KK=2
  PRINT*, 'ENTER YOUR CHOICE'
  PRINT*
  PRINT*, ' 1.....EQUALIZED BUTTERWORTH FILTER'
  PRINT*, ' 2.....BUTTERWORTH FILTER'
  PRINT*, ' 3.....CHEBYCHEV FILTER'

```

```

READ(5,*)ICHOICE
IF(1CHOICE.EQ.1)THEN
  LFILTERNUMBER(KK)=1
ELSE IF(1CHOICE.EQ.2)THEN
  LFILTERNUMBER(KK)=2
ELSE IF(1CHOICE.EQ.3)THEN
  LFILTERNUMBER(KK)=3
  PRINT*, ' ENTER PEAK VALUE OF RIPPLE in (dB)'
  READ(5,*)PASSBANDRIPPLE(KK)
ENDIF

```

```

  PRINT*, ' ENTER ORDER OF FILTER'
  READ(5,*)LFILTERORDER(KK)
  WRITE(1,*)'LFILTERORDER=',LFILTERORDER(KK)
  PRINT*, ' ENTER NORMALIZED CRITICAL FREQUENCY'
  READ(5,*)CRITICALFREQ(KK)
  WRITE(1,*)'CRITICALFREQ=',CRITICALFREQ(KK)

```

```

ELSE IF(CH.NE.'N')THEN
  GOTO 850
ENDIF
GOTO 1000

```

```

700 PRINT*,CHAR(27)//' [2J'
PRINT*, '*****'
PRINT*
661 PRINT*, ' ANY LINEAR DISTORTION (Y/N) ?'
PRINT*, '*****'
660 READ(5,110)CH
IF(CH.EQ.'Y')THEN
  KK=KK+1
  PRINT*, 'ENTER YOUR CHOICE'
  PRINT*
  PRINT*, ' 1....LINEAR AMPLITUDE DISTORTION'
  PRINT*, ' 2....PARABOLIC AMPLITUDE DISTORTION'
  PRINT*, ' 3....RIPPLE AMPLITUDE DISTORTION (Type I)'
  PRINT*, ' 4....RIPPLE AMPLITUDE DISTORTION (Type II)'
  PRINT*, ' 5....LINEAR GROUP DELAY'
  PRINT*, ' 6....PARABOLIC GROUP DELAY'
  PRINT*, ' 7....RIPPLE GROUP DELAY (Type I)'
  PRINT*, ' 8....RIPPLE GROUP DELAY (Type II)'
  READ(5,*)ICHOICE2
  IF(1CHOICE2.EQ.1)THEN
    LFILTERNUMBER(KK)=11
    PRINT*, ' ENTER SLOPE OF THE LINEAR AMPLITUDE
$ DISTORTION in (dB/MHz)'
    READ(5,*)ALIN
    WRITE(1,*)' ALIN = ',ALIN,' (dB/MHz)'
  ELSE IF(1CHOICE2.EQ.2)THEN
    LFILTERNUMBER(KK)=12
    PRINT*, ' ENTER PARABOLIC AMPLITUDE DISTORTION
$ COEFFICIENT in (dB/(MHz)2)'
    READ(5,*)APAR
  ELSE IF(1CHOICE2.EQ.3)THEN
    LFILTERNUMBER(KK)=13
    PRINT*, ' ENTER PEAK VALUE OF RIPPLE in (dB)'
    READ(5,*)ARS
    WRITE(1,*)' ARS = ',ARS,' (dB)'
    PRINT*, ' ENTER NUMBER OF RIPPLES'
    READ(5,*)NSRIP
    WRITE(1,*)' NSRIP = ',NSRIP

```

```

ELSE IF(ICHOICE2.EQ.4)THEN
  LFILTERNUMBER(KK)=14
  PRINT*, ' ENTER PEAK VALUE OF RIPPLE in (dB)'
  READ(S,*)ARC
  WRITE(1,*)' ARC = ',ARC,' (dB)'
  PRINT*, ' ENTER NUMBER OF RIPPLES'
  READ(S,*)NCRIP
  WRITE(1,*)' NCRIP = ',NCRIP
ELSE IF(ICHOICE2.EQ.5)THEN
  LFILTERNUMBER(KK)=15
  PRINT*, ' ENTER LINEAR GROUP DELAY in (ns/MHz)'
  READ(S,*)GLIN
ELSE IF(ICHOICE2.EQ.6)THEN
  LFILTERNUMBER(KK)=16
  PRINT*, ' ENTER PARABOLIC GROUP DELAY in (ns/MHz2)'
  READ(S,*)GPAR
ELSE IF(ICHOICE2.EQ.7)THEN
  LFILTERNUMBER(KK)=17
  PRINT*, ' ENTER MAXIMUM DELAY in (ns)'
  READ(S,*)GRS
  WRITE(1,*)' GRS = ',GRS,' (ns)'
  PRINT*, ' ENTER NUMBER OF RIPPLES'
  READ(S,*)NGSRIP
  WRITE(1,*)' NGS RIP = ',NGSRIP
ELSE IF(ICHOICE2.EQ.8)THEN
  LFILTERNUMBER(KK)=18
  PRINT*, ' ENTER MAXIMUM DELAY in (ns)'
  READ(S,*)GRC
  WRITE(1,*)' GRC = ',GRC,' (ns)'
  PRINT*, ' ENTER NUMBER OF RIPPLES'
  READ(S,*)NGCRIP
  WRITE(1,*)' NGCRIP = ',NGCRIP
ELSE
  GOTO 661
ENDIF

```

```

PRINT*, ' ANY OTHER LINEAR DISTORTION (Y/N) ?'
GOTO 660
ELSE IF(CH.NE.'N')THEN
  GOTO 660
ENDIF

```

```

PRINT*,CHAR(27)//' [2J'
PRINT*, '*****'
PRINT*

```

```
761 PRINT*, ' ANY LINEAR RECEIVER FILTERING (Y/N) ?'

```

```

PRINT*, '*****'
READ(S,110)CH

```

```
760 IF(CH.EQ.'Y')THEN
  KK=KK+2
  PRINT*, 'ENTER YOUR CHOICE'
  PRINT*
  PRINT*, ' 1.....EQUALIZED BUTTERWORTH FILTER'
  PRINT*, ' 2.....BUTTERWORTH FILTER'
  PRINT*, ' 3.....CHEBYCHEV FILTER',

```

```

READ(S,*)ICHOICE
IF(ICHOICE.EQ.1)THEN
  LFILTERNUMBER(KK)=1
ELSE IF(ICHOICE.EQ.2)THEN
  LFILTERNUMBER(KK)=2

```

```

ELSE IF(CH.OI.EQ.3)THEN
  LFILTERNUMBER(KK)=3
  PRINT*, ' ENTER PEAK VALUE OF RIPPLE in (dB)'
  READ(5,*)PASSBANDRIPPLE(KK)
ENDIF

```

```

PRINT*, ' ENTER ORDER OF FILTER'
READ(5,*)LFILTERORDER(KK)
WRITE(1,*)'LFILTERORDER=',LFILTERORDER(KK)
PRINT*, ' ENTER NORMALIZED CRITICAL FREQUENCY'
READ(5,*)CRITICALFREQ(KK)
WRITE(1,*)'CRITICALFREQ=',CRITICALFREQ(KK)

```

```

ELSE IF(CH.NE.'N')THEN
  GOTO 760
ENDIF

```

```

IF(MODTYPE.EQ.11)THEN
  PRINT*,CHAR(27)//' [2J'
  PRINT*, '*****'
  PRINT*, '          ENTER YOUR CHOICE'
  PRINT*
  PRINT*, '  1....MATCHED FILTER DETECTION'
  PRINT*, '  2....BUTTERWORTH FILTER DETECTION'
  PRINT*, '*****'
  READ(5,*)IDETECTIONTYPE
  IF(IDETECTIONTYPE.EQ.2)THEN
    KK=KK+3
    LFILTERNUMBER(KK)=2
    PRINT*, ' ENTER ORDER OF FILTER'
    READ(5,*)LFILTERORDER(KK)
    WRITE(1,*)'BUTTERWORTH DETECTION FILTER'
    WRITE(1,*)'LFILTERORDER=',LFILTERORDER(KK)
    PRINT*, ' ENTER NORMALIZED CRITICAL FREQUENCY'
    READ(5,*)CRITICALFREQ(KK)
    WRITE(1,*)'CRITICALFREQ=',CRITICALFREQ(KK)
    DUM=PI/REAL(2*LFILTERORDER(KK))
    EQUIVNOISEBANDW=(DUM/SIN(DUM))*CRITICALFREQ(KK)
  ENDIF
ENDIF

```

```
110  FORMAT(A1)
```

```
1000  RETURN
      END
```

---

 SUBROUTINE NONLINEAR\_SYSTEM\_SIMULATOR

```

  CHARACTER*1 AWGNBEFORE
  COMPLEX FFTOUT(16384)
  COMPLEX SIGNAL(16384)
  COMPLEX NOISYSIGNAL(16384)
  COMPLEX NOISEFREESIGNAL(16384)
  COMPLEX GAUSSNOISE(16384)
  INTEGER INPUTDATA(4096)
  DIMENSION LFILTERNUMBER(10)
  DIMENSION NLFILTERNUMBER(10)

```

```

  COMMON /C2/ INPUTDATA
  COMMON /C3/ NUMSAM
  COMMON /C4/ NBITS
  COMMON /C7/ SIGNAL
  COMMON /C9/ GAUSSNOISE
  COMMON /C11/ MOOTYPE
  COMMON /C15/ NREG
  COMMON /C17/ NERROR
  COMMON /C18/ ERRORRATIO
  COMMON /C29/ FFTOUT
  COMMON /C34/ AMPLITUDEOFFSET
  COMMON /C35/ LFILTERNUMBER
  COMMON /C40/ KSNR
  COMMON /C50/ NOISYSIGNAL
  COMMON /C51/ PASSBANDRIPPLE
  COMMON /C56/ NLFILTERNUMBER
  COMMON /C65/ BACKOFF
  COMMON /C67/ AWGNBEFORE
  COMMON /C68/ ERROR
  COMMON /C75/ UPSNR
  COMMON /C76/ ISNRINITIAL
  COMMON /C77/ ISNREND
  COMMON /C78/ ISNR

```

```

  REAL NOISEPOWER, NOISEPOWERNEW
  REAL DOWNSNR(10)
  REAL AVERAGEERROR(10)

```

```

  NODOWNSNR=((ISNREND-ISNRINITIAL)/ISNR)+1
  DO I=1,NODOWNSNR
    DOWNSNR(I)=REAL(ISNRINITIAL+(I-1)*ISNR)
  END DO

```

```

  CALL PRBSGENERATOR
  IF (MOOTYPE.NE.11)THEN
    CALL MLCS
    NUMSAM=NUMSAM/2
  ENDIF

```

```

  IF (MOOTYPE.EQ.11)CALL BPSK_MODULATOR
  IF (MOOTYPE.EQ.12)CALL QPSK_MODULATOR
  IF (MOOTYPE.EQ.13)CALL OKPSK_MODULATOR
  IF (MOOTYPE.EQ.14)CALL MSK_MODULATOR

```

C signal power and uplink noise power correction factor calculation

```

  SIGNALPOWER=0.0
  DO I=1,NUMSAM*NBITS
    SIGNALPOWER=SIGNALPOWER+REAL(SIGNAL(I)*CONJG(SIGNAL(I)))
  END DO

```

```
SIGNALPOWER=SIGNALPOWER/FLOAT(2*NUMSAM*NBITS)
CORRECTIONFACTOR=(REAL(NUMSAM)*SIGNALPOWER)/<10.0**<(UPSNR/10.0)>>
```

```
DO I=1,NUMSAM*NBITS
  NOISEFREESIGNAL(I)=SIGNAL(I)
END DO
```

```
DO I=1,NODOWNSNR
  AVERAGEERROR(I)=0
END DO
NRUNS=0
IRUNCONTROL=0
CALL TIME_BEGIN
```

```
600 CALL GAUSSNOISEGENERATOR
```

```
C addition of uplink noise
```

```
DO 200 I=1,NUMSAM*NBITS
  SIGNAL(I)=NOISEFREESIGNAL(I)+GAUSSNOISE(I)*
  $SQRT(CORRECTIONFACTOR)
200 CONTINUE
```

```
C zero padding ,to ensure linear convolution equivalence
```

```
LDIM=NUMSAM*NBITS
DO I=LDIM+1,2*LDIM
  SIGNAL(I)=CMPLX(0.)
END DO
NBITS=NBITS*2
```

```
C linear filtering in frequency domain before memoryless nonlinearity
```

```
KK=1
IF(LFILTERNUMBER(1).NE.0)THEN
  CALL FFT(SIGNAL,NUMSAM*NBITS,0)
  IF(LFILTERNUMBER(KK).EQ.1)CALL EQUALIZED_BUTTERWORTH(KK)
  IF(LFILTERNUMBER(KK).EQ.2)CALL BUTTERWORTH(KK)
  IF(LFILTERNUMBER(KK).EQ.3)CALL CHEBYCHEV(KK)
  DO I=1,NUMSAM*NBITS
    SIGNAL(I)=CONJG(FFTOUT(I))
  END DO
  CALL FFT(SIGNAL,NUMSAM*NBITS,0)
  DO I=1,NUMSAM*NBITS
    SIGNAL(I)=CONJG(FFTOUT(I))/CMPLX(FLOAT(NUMSAM*NBITS))
  END DO
ENDIF
NBITS=NBITS/2
```

```
C nonlinear filtering in time domain
```

```
IF(NLFILTERNUMBER(1).EQ.1)CALL CLIPPER
IF(NLFILTERNUMBER(1).EQ.2)CALL HARD_LIMITER
IF(NLFILTERNUMBER(1).EQ.3)THEN
  CALL TWT(BACKOFF,PSHIFT)
  CALL PHASECOMPENSATOR(PSHIFT)
ENDIF
```

```
C zero padding ,to ensure linear convolution equivalence
```

```
DO I=LDIM+1,2*LDIM
  SIGNAL(I)=CMPLX(0.)
END DO
NBITS=NBITS*2
```

```
C linear filtering in frequency domain after memoryless nonlinearity
```

```

IF(LFILTERNUMBER(2).NE.0)THEN
  KK=2
  CALL FFT(SIGNAL,NUMSAM*NBITS,0)
  IF(LFILTERNUMBER(KK).EQ.1)CALL EQUALIZED_BUTTERWORTH(KK)
  IF(LFILTERNUMBER(KK).EQ.2)CALL BUTTERWORTH(KK)
  IF(LFILTERNUMBER(KK).EQ.3)CALL CHEBYCHEV(KK)
  DO I=1,NUMSAM*NBITS
    SIGNAL(I)=CONJG(FFTOUT(I))
  END DO
  CALL FFT(SIGNAL,NUMSAM*NBITS,0)
  DO I=1,NUMSAM*NBITS
    SIGNAL(I)=CONJG(FFTOUT(I))/CMPLX(FLOAT(NUMSAM*NBITS))
  END DO
ENDIF
NBITS=NBITS/2

```

```
ISNRNUMBER=0
```

```
WRITE(6,*)'NUMBER OF BITS CONSIDERED =',(NRUNS+1)*NBITS
```

C calculation of BER for a number of downlink SNR's

```
DO KSNR=ISNRINITIAL,ISNREND,ISNR
  ISNRNUMBER=ISNRNUMBER+1

```

```

  IF ( MODTYPE.EQ.11 )CALL BPSK_C_DEMODULATOR
  IF ( MODTYPE.EQ.12 )CALL QPSK_C_DEMODULATOR
  IF ( MODTYPE.EQ.13 )CALL OKQPSK_C_DEMODULATOR
  IF ( MODTYPE.EQ.14 )CALL MSK_C_DEMODULATOR

```

C decision mechanism to use another additional set of random uplink

C noise samples to increase confidence level

```

  AVERAGEOLD=AVERAGEERROR(ISNRNUMBER)
  AVERAGEERROR(ISNRNUMBER)=AVERAGEERROR(ISNRNUMBER)*NRUNS+ERROR
  AVERAGEERROR(ISNRNUMBER)=AVERAGEERROR(ISNRNUMBER)

```

```
$/REAL(NRUNS+1)
```

```
  WRITE(*,*)KSNR,ISNRNUMBER,AVERAGEERROR(ISNRNUMBER)
```

```
  IF(ISNRNUMBER.EQ.NODOWNSNR.AND.NRUNS.GT.1)THEN
```

```
    IF(ABS((AVERAGEERROR(ISNRNUMBER)-AVERAGEOLD)/
```

```
    $AVERAGEERROR(ISNRNUMBER)).LT.0.05)THEN
```

```
      IRUNCONTROL=IRUNCONTROL+1
```

```
    ELSE
```

```
      IRUNCONTROL=0
```

```
    ENDIF
```

```
  ENDIF
```

```
  IF(IRUNCONTROL.EQ.3)GOTO 1000
```

```
END DO
```

```
WRITE(*,*)'
```

```
NRUNS=NRUNS+1
```

```
GOTO 600
```

1000

```
DO I=1,NODOWNSNR
```

```
  WRITE(1,177)DOWNSNR(I),AVERAGEERROR(I)
```

```
  WRITE(6,177)DOWNSNR(I),AVERAGEERROR(I)
```

```
END DO
```

```
WRITE(1,*)'NUMBER OF BITS CONSIDERED =',(NRUNS+1)*NBITS
```

```
WRITE(6,*)'NUMBER OF BITS CONSIDERED =',(NRUNS+1)*NBITS
```

```
PRINT*,CHAR(27)//' [2J'
```

```
PRINT*,'
```

```
PRINT*, ' SEE FILE : RESULT.DAT FOR THE RESULTS OF THIS RUN...'
```

```
PRINT*,  
FORMAT (2X,F4.1,4X,E11.3,2X,I4)  
CALL TIME_END
```

```
RETURN  
END
```

```
=====
SUBROUTINE GAUSSNOISEGENERATOR
```

```
C This subroutine generates in-phase and quadrature Gaussian
C random variables with
C * mean = 0.0
C * variance = 1.0
```

```
CHARACTER*1 CH
```

```
COMPLEX GAUSSNOISE(16384)
INTEGER*4 RANDOMNUMBER(2)
CHARACTER*1 PRINTCONTROL
CHARACTER*1 REPORTCONTROL
CHARACTER*1 PLOTCONTROL
```

```
COMMON /C1/ PI,P12
COMMON /C3/ NUMSAM
COMMON /C4/ NBITS
COMMON /C9/ GAUSSNOISE
COMMON /C10/ RANDOMNUMBER
COMMON /C20/ SAMINT
COMMON /C30/ PLOTCONTROL
```

```
REAL MEAN
```

```
DO K=1,2
```

```
MEAN=0.0
```

```
VARIANCE=0.0
```

```
DO 60 I=1,NUMSAM*NBITS
```

```
U1=RAN(RANDOMNUMBER(K))
```

```
U2=RAN(RANDOMNUMBER(K))
```

```
GG=-2.0*ALOG(U1+0.000001)
```

```
IF (GG.LT.0.0) GG=0.0
```

```
IF (K.EQ.1) THEN
```

```
GAUSSNOISE(I)=CMPLX(SQRT(GG)*SIN(2.0*PI*(U2-0.5)))
```

```
MEAN=MEAN+REAL(GAUSSNOISE(I))
```

```
VARIANCE=VARIANCE+REAL(GAUSSNOISE(I))*GAUSSNOISE(I)
```

```
ELSE
```

```
GAUSSNOISE(I)=GAUSSNOISE(I)+CMPLX(0,-1)*CMPLX(SQRT(.5))
```

```
$*CMPLX(SQRT(GG)*SIN(2.0*PI*(U2-0.5)))
```

```
MEAN=MEAN-AIMAG(GAUSSNOISE(I))
```

```
VARIANCE=VARIANCE+AIMAG(GAUSSNOISE(I))*AIMAG(GAUSSNOISE(I))
```

```
ENDIF
```

```
CONTINUE
```

```
MEAN=MEAN/FLOAT(NUMSAM*NBITS)
```

```
VARIANCE=VARIANCE/FLOAT(NUMSAM*NBITS)
```

```
END DO
```

```
RETURN
```

```
END
```

```
=====
SUBROUTINE LINEAR_SYSTEM_SIMULATOR
```

```
COMPLEX FFTOUT(16384)
COMPLEX SIGNAL(16384)
COMPLEX NOISE(16384)
REAL COLOREDNOISE3(128)
DIMENSION LFILTERNUMBER(10)
DIMENSION NLFILTERNUMBER(10)
```

```
COMMON /C3/ NUMSAM
COMMON /C4/ NBITS
COMMON /C7/ SIGNAL
COMMON /C11/ MODTYPE
COMMON /C23/ SAMFREQ
COMMON /C29/ FFTOUT
COMMON /C33/ PHASEOFFSET
COMMON /C34/ AMPLITUDEOFFSET
COMMON /C35/ LFILTERNUMBER
COMMON /C36/ CRITICALFREQ
COMMON /C37/ LFILTERORDER
COMMON /C40/ KSNR
COMMON /C51/ PASSBANDRIPPLE
COMMON /C56/ NLFILTERNUMBER
COMMON /C58/ NOISE
COMMON /C60/ LSHIFT
COMMON /C61/ COLOREDNOISE3
COMMON /C62/ COLOREDNOISEPOWER
COMMON /C63/ IDETECTIONTYPE
COMMON /C65/ BACKOFF
COMMON /C76/ ISNRINITIAL
COMMON /C77/ ISNREND
COMMON /C78/ ISNR
```

```
REAL NOISEPOWER, NOISEPOWERNEW
```

```
CALL TIME_BEGIN
CALL PRBSGENERATOR
IF(MODTYPE.NE.11)THEN
  CALL MLCS
  NUMSAM=NUMSAM/2
ENDIF
```

```
IF(MODTYPE.EQ.11)CALL BPSK_MODULATOR
IF(MODTYPE.EQ.12)CALL QPSK_MODULATOR
IF(MODTYPE.EQ.13)CALL OKQPSK_MODULATOR
IF(MODTYPE.EQ.14)CALL MSK_MODULATOR
```

```
C zero padding , to ensure linear convolution equivalence
```

```
LDIM=NUMSAM*NBITS
DO I=LDIM+1,2*LDIM
  SIGNAL(I)=CMPLX(0.)
END DO
NBITS=NBITS*2
```

```
C linear filtering in frequency domain before noise addition
```

```
KK=0
IF(LFILTERNUMBER(1).NE.0)THEN
  CALL FFT(SIGNAL,NUMSAM*NBITS,0)
  KK=KK+1
  IF(LFILTERNUMBER(KK).EQ.1)CALL EQUALIZED_BUTTERWORTH(KK)
  IF(LFILTERNUMBER(KK).EQ.2)CALL BUTTERWORTH(KK)
```

```

IF(LFILTERNUMBER(KK).EQ.3)CALL CHEBYCHEV(KK)
IF(LFILTERNUMBER(KK).EQ.11)CALL LINEAR_AMP_DIST
IF(LFILTERNUMBER(KK).EQ.12)CALL PARABOLIC_AMP_DIST
IF(LFILTERNUMBER(KK).EQ.13)CALL RIPPLE_AMP_DIST_SIN
IF(LFILTERNUMBER(KK).EQ.14)CALL RIPPLE_AMP_DIST_COS
IF(LFILTERNUMBER(KK).EQ.15)CALL LINEAR_GROUP_DELAY
IF(LFILTERNUMBER(KK).EQ.16)CALL PARABOLIC_GROUP_DELAY
IF(LFILTERNUMBER(KK).EQ.17)CALL RIPPLE_GROUP_DELAY_SIN
IF(LFILTERNUMBER(KK).EQ.18)CALL RIPPLE_GROUP_DELAY_COS
IF(LFILTERNUMBER(KK).EQ.0)THEN
  KK=KK-1
  DO I=1,NUMSAM*NBITS
    SIGNAL(I)=CONJG(FFTOUT(I))
  END DO
  CALL FFT(SIGNAL,NUMSAM*NBITS,0)
  DO I=1,NUMSAM*NBITS
    SIGNAL(I)=CONJG(FFTOUT(I))/CMPLX(FLOAT(NUMSAM*NBITS))
  END DO
  GOTO 601
ENDIF
GOTO 564
ENDIF

```

```
601  NBITS=NBITS/2
```

C zero padding ,to ensure linear convolution equivalence

```

DO I=LDIM+1,2*LDIM
  SIGNAL(I)=CMPLX(0.)
END DO
NBITS=NBITS*2

```

C linear filtering in frequency domain after noise addition

```

IF(LFILTERNUMBER(KK+2).NE.0)THEN
  KK=KK+2
  CALL FFT(SIGNAL,NUMSAM*NBITS,0)
  IF(LFILTERNUMBER(KK).EQ.1)CALL EQUALIZED_BUTTERWORTH(KK)
  IF(LFILTERNUMBER(KK).EQ.2)CALL BUTTERWORTH(KK)
  IF(LFILTERNUMBER(KK).EQ.3)CALL CHEBYCHEV(KK)
  DO I=1,NUMSAM*NBITS
    SIGNAL(I)=CONJG(FFTOUT(I))
  END DO
  CALL FFT(SIGNAL,NUMSAM*NBITS,0)
  DO I=1,NUMSAM*NBITS
    SIGNAL(I)=CONJG(FFTOUT(I))/CMPLX(FLOAT(NUMSAM*NBITS))
  END DO

```

```
  CALL COLOREDNOISECALCULATOR(KK)
```

```
  KK=KK-2
```

```
  WRITE(6,*)'CORREL COLOREDNOISEPOWER=',COLOREDNOISEPOWER
```

```
  ENDIF
```

```
701  NBITS=NBITS/2
```

C linear filtering in by a nonmatched (Butterworth)detection filter

```

DO I=LDIM+1,2*LDIM
  SIGNAL(I)=CMPLX(0.)
END DO
NBITS=NBITS*2
IF(IDETECTIONTYPE.EQ.2)THEN
  KK=KK+3
  CALL FFT(SIGNAL,NUMSAM*NBITS,0)
  CALL BUTTERWORTH(KK)
  DO I=1,NUMSAM*NBITS
    SIGNAL(I)=CONJG(FFTOUT(I))
  END DO

```

```
END DO
CALL FFT(SIGNAL,NUMSAM*NBITS,0)
DO I=1,NUMSAM*NBITS
    SIGNAL(I)=CONJG(FFTDUT(I))/CMPLX(FLOAT(NUMSAM*NBITS))
END DO
KK=KK-3
ENDIF
NBITS=NBITS/2
```

C the sampling time is shifted if desired

```
CALL SAMPLING_TIME_SHIFTER(SIGNAL,NUMSAM*NBITS,LSHIFT)
```

```
DO KSNR=ISNRINITIAL,ISNREND,ISNR
    IF(MODTYPE.EQ.11)CALL BPSK_C_DEMODULATOR
    IF(MODTYPE.EQ.12)CALL QPSK_C_DEMODULATOR
    IF(MODTYPE.EQ.13)CALL OKQPSK_C_DEMODULATOR
    IF(MODTYPE.EQ.14)CALL MSK_C_DEMODULATOR
END DO
CALL TIME_END
RETURN
END
```

```
C=====
      SUBROUTINE PHASECOMPENSATOR(PSHIFT)
C the phase shift introduced by the TWT nonlinearity is compensated
      COMMON /C3/ NUMSAM
      COMMON /C4/ NBITS
      COMMON /C7/ SIGNAL
      COMPLEX SIGNAL(16384)

      DO I=1,NUMSAM*NBITS
        SIGNAL(I)=SIGNAL(I)*CNPLX(COS(PSHIFT),-SIN(PSHIFT))
      END DO
      RETURN
      END
```

```
=====
SUBROUTINE TWT(BACKOFF,PSHIFT)
```

```
C computes response of INTELSAT IV TWT nonlinearity using a best
C fit by modified Bessel functions
```

```
COMMON /C3/ NUMSAM
```

```
COMMON /C4/ NBITS
```

```
COMMON /C7/ SIGNAL
```

```
COMPLEX SIGNAL(16384)
```

```
DATA C1,C2,S1,S2/1.61245, .053557, 1.71850, .242218/
```

```
C for saturation point compensation
```

```
FACTORA=10.**(.9./20.)
```

```
C for operation point compensation
```

```
FACTORA=FACTORA*10.**(-BACKOFF/20.)
```

```
A=SQRT(2.)*FACTORA
```

```
C2A2=C2*A*A
```

```
C1A=C1*A
```

```
S2A2=S2*A*A
```

```
S1A=S1*A
```

```
ZP=BESSIO(C2A2)/EXP(C2A2)*C1A
```

```
ZQ=BESSI1(S2A2)/EXP(S2A2)*S1A
```

```
PSHIFT=ATAN(ZQ/ZP)
```

```
DO K=1,NUMSAM*NBITS
```

```
  A=SQRT(REAL(SIGNAL(K)*CONJG(SIGNAL(K))))
```

```
  A=A*FACTORA
```

```
  C2A2=C2*A*A
```

```
  C1A=C1*A
```

```
  S2A2=S2*A*A
```

```
  S1A=S1*A
```

```
  ZP=BESSIO(C2A2)/EXP(C2A2)*C1A
```

```
  ZQ=BESSI1(S2A2)/EXP(S2A2)*S1A
```

```
  SIGNAL(K)=SIGNAL(K)*CMPLX(ZP,ZQ)
```

```
C to adjust the output power to 0 dB
```

```
  SIGNAL(K)=SIGNAL(K)*(10.**(-7.852484/20.))/SQRT(2.)
```

```
END DO
```

```
RETURN
```

```
END
```

```
=====
FUNCTION BESS10(X)
```

```
C Numerical Recipes routine to find the modified Bessel function
C of 0'th order
```

```
REAL*8 Y,P1,P2,P3,P4,P5,P6,P7,
* Q1,Q2,Q3,Q4,Q5,Q6,Q7,Q8,Q9
DATA P1,P2,P3,P4,P5,P6,P7/1.000,3.5156229D0,3.0899424D0,1.2067492D
*0,
* 0.2659732D0,0.360768D-1,0.45813D-2/
DATA Q1,Q2,Q3,Q4,Q5,Q6,Q7,Q8,Q9/0.39894228D0,0.1328592D-1,
* 0.225319D-2,-0.157565D-2,0.916281D-2,-0.2057706D-1,
* 0.2635537D-1,-0.1647633D-1,0.392377D-2/
IF (ABS(X).LT.3.75) THEN
  Y=(X/3.75)**2
  BESS10=P1+Y*(P2+Y*(P3+Y*(P4+Y*(P5+Y*(P6+Y*P7))))))
ELSE
  AX=ABS(X)
  Y=3.75/AX
  BESS10=(EXP(AX)/SQRT(AX))*(Q1+Y*(Q2+Y*(Q3+Y*(Q4
* +Y*(Q5+Y*(Q6+Y*(Q7+Y*(Q8+Y*Q9))))))))))
ENDIF
RETURN
END
```

```
=====
FUNCTION BESS1(X)
```

```
C Numerical Recipes routine to find the modified Bessel function
C of 1'st order
```

```
REAL*8 Y,P1,P2,P3,P4,P5,P6,P7,
* Q1,Q2,Q3,Q4,Q5,Q6,Q7,Q8,Q9
DATA P1,P2,P3,P4,P5,P6,P7/0.500,0.8789059400,0.5149886900,
* 0.1508493400,0.26587330-1,0.3015320-2,0.324110-3/
DATA Q1,Q2,Q3,Q4,Q5,Q6,Q7,Q8,Q9/0.3989422800,-0.39880240-1,
* -0.3620180-2,0.1638010-2,-0.10315550-1,0.22829670-1,
* -0.28953120-1,0.17876540-1,-0.4200590-2/
IF (ABS(X).LT.3.75) THEN
  Y=(X/3.75)**2
  BESS1=X*(P1+Y*(P2+Y*(P3+Y*(P4+Y*(P5+Y*(P6+Y*P7))))))
ELSE
  AX=ABS(X)
  Y=3.75/AX
  BESS1=(EXP(AX)/SQRT(AX))*(Q1+Y*(Q2+Y*(Q3+Y*(Q4+
* Y*(Q5+Y*(Q6+Y*(Q7+Y*(Q8+Y*Q9)))))))
ENDIF
RETURN
END
```

```
=====
SUBROUTINE COLOREDNOISECALCULATOR(KK)
```

C routine to calculate the noise power after passing  
C through a linear filter

```
COMPLEX COLOREDNOISE(16384)
COMPLEX COLOREDNOISE2(16384)
REAL COLOREDNOISE3(128)
DIMENSION LFILTERNUMBER(10)
COMPLEX FFTOUT(16384)
```

```
COMMON /C3/ NUMSAM
COMMON /C4/ NBITS
COMMON /C23/ SAMFREQ
COMMON /C29/ FFTOUT
COMMON /C35/ LFILTERNUMBER
COMMON /C61/ COLOREDNOISE3
COMMON /C62/ COLOREDNOISEPOWER
```

```
NUMSAMOLD=NUMSAM
NBITSOLD=NBITS
SAMFREQOLD=SAMFREQ
NUMSAM=32
NBITS=32
SAMFREQ=REAL(NUMSAM)
DO I=1,NUMSAM*NBITS
  FFTOUT(I)=CMPLX(1.)
END DO
```

```
IF(LFILTERNUMBER(KK).EQ.1)CALL EQUALIZED_BUTTERWORTH(KK)
IF(LFILTERNUMBER(KK).EQ.2)CALL BUTTERWORTH(KK)
IF(LFILTERNUMBER(KK).EQ.3)CALL CHEBYCHEV(KK)
```

```
K=0
SUM=0.
DO I=1,NUMSAM
  SUM=SUM+REAL(FFTOUT(I)*CONJG(FFTOUT(I)))
  K=K+1
END DO
COLOREDNOISEPOWER=ABS(SUM)/REAL(NUMSAM)
WRITE(6,*)' COLOREDNOISEPOWER=',COLOREDNOISEPOWER
```

```
NUMSAM=NUMSAMOLD
NBITS=NBITSOLD
SAMFREQ=SAMFREQOLD
```

```
RETURN
END
```

```
=====
SUBROUTINE SAMPLING_TIME_SHIFTER(SIGNAL,LDIM,LSHIFT)
```

```
C routine to shift an array by a number of array elements
```

```
COMPLEX SIGNAL(16384)
COMPLEX ARRAY(16384)
```

```
IF(LSHIFT.EQ.0)RETURN
```

```
NO1=LDIM-LSHIFT
```

```
DO I=1,LSHIFT
```

```
  ARRAY(I)=SIGNAL(I)
```

```
END DO
```

```
DO I=1,NO1
```

```
  SIGNAL(I)=SIGNAL(I+LSHIFT)
```

```
END DO
```

```
DO I=1,LSHIFT
```

```
  SIGNAL(NO1+I)=ARRAY(I)
```

```
END DO
```

```
RETURN
```

```
END
```

```

=====
      SUBROUTINE EQUALIZED_BUTTERWORTH(LCONTROL)
C routine to generate equalized Butterworth filter frequency response
C this routine is reentrant

      COMPLEX TRANSFERFUNC(16384)
      COMPLEX FFTOUT(16384)
      DIMENSION LFILTERNUMBER(10),CRITICALFREQ(10),LFILTERORDER(10)

      COMMON /C3/ NUMSAM
      COMMON /C4/ NBITS
      COMMON /C23/ SAMFREQ
      COMMON /C29/ FFTOUT
      COMMON /C36/ CRITICALFREQ
      COMMON /C37/ LFILTERORDER

      DELTAFREQ=SAMFREQ/REAL(NUMSAM*NBITS)
      NO1=NUMSAM*NBITS/2+1
      NO2=NO1+1
      TRANSFERFUNC(1)=CMPLX(1.)

C generates the positive frequency part of the filter
      DO I=2,NO1
         J=I-1
         A2=1./SQRT(1.+(DELTAFREQ*FLOAT(J)/CRITICALFREQ(LCONTROL)
$)**(2*LFILTERORDER(LCONTROL)))
         TRANSFERFUNC(I)=CMPLX(A2)
      END DO

C computes the negative frequency part of the filter
      DO I=NO2,NUMSAM*NBITS
         TRANSFERFUNC(I)=TRANSFERFUNC(NUMSAM*NBITS+2-I)
      END DO

C multiplies the frequency responses
      DO I=1,NUMSAM*NBITS
         FFTOUT(I)=FFTOUT(I)*TRANSFERFUNC(I)
      END DO

      RETURN
      END

```

```
=====
SUBROUTINE BUTTERWORTH(LCONTROL)
```

```
C routine to generate Butterworth filter frequency response
C this routine is reentrant
```

```
COMPLEX TRANSFERFUNC(16384)
COMPLEX FFTOUT(16384)
DIMENSION LFILTERNUMBER(10),CRITICALFREQ(10),LFILTERORDER(10)
```

```
COMMON /C1/ P1,P12
COMMON /C3/ NUMSAM
COMMON /C4/ NBITS
COMMON /C23/ SAMFREQ
COMMON /C29/ FFTOUT
COMMON /C36/ CRITICALFREQ
COMMON /C37/ LFILTERORDER
```

```
DELTA FREQ=SA MFREQ/REAL(NUMSAM*NBITS)
NO1=NUMSAM*NBITS/2+1
NO2=NO1+1
TRANSFERFUNC(1)=CMPLX(1.)
A2=0.
A3=P12/4./REAL(LFILTERORDER(LCONTROL))
```

```
C generates the positive frequency part of the filter
```

```
DO I=2,NO1
  J=I-1
  A2=DELTA FREQ*FLOAT(J)/CRITICALFREQ(LCONTROL)
  TRANSFERFUNC(I)=CMPLX(1.)
  DO J=1,LFILTERORDER(LCONTROL)
    A4=REAL(LFILTERORDER(LCONTROL)-1+(2*J))*A3
    TRANSFERFUNC(I)=TRANSFERFUNC(I)/
    $CMPLX(-COS(A4),-SIN(A4)+A2)
  END DO
END DO
```

```
C computes the negative frequency part of the filter
```

```
DO I=NO2,NUMSAM*NBITS
  TRANSFERFUNC(I)=CONJG(TRANSFERFUNC(NUMSAM*NBITS+2-I))
END DO
```

```
C multiplies the frequency responses
```

```
DO I=1,NUMSAM*NBITS
  FFTOUT(I)=FFTOUT(I)*TRANSFERFUNC(I)
END DO
```

```
RETURN
END
```

```
=====
SUBROUTINE CHEBYCHEV(LCONTROL)
```

```
C routine to generate Chebychev filter frequency response
```

```
C this routine is reentrant
```

```
COMPLEX TRANSFERFUNC(16384)
COMPLEX FFTOUT(16384)
DIMENSION LFILTERNUMBER(10),CRITICALFREQ(10),LFILTERORDER(10)
DIMENSION PASSBANDRIPPLE(10)
```

```
COMMON /C1/ PI,PI2
COMMON /C3/ NUMSAM
COMMON /C4/ NBITS
COMMON /C23/ SAMFREQ
COMMON /C29/ FFTOUT
COMMON /C36/ CRITICALFREQ
COMMON /C37/ LFILTERORDER
COMMON /C51/ PASSBANDRIPPLE
```

```
PASSBANDRIP=PASSBANDRIPPLE(LCONTROL)
DELTAFFREQ=SAMFREQ/REAL(NUMSAM*NBITS)
NO1=NUMSAM*NBITS/2+1
NO2=NO1+1
R2=0.
R3=PI2/4./REAL(LFILTERORDER(LCONTROL))
```

```
PASSBANDRIP=SQRT(10.**(PASSBANDRIP/10.)-1.)
ALPHA=1./PASSBANDRIP
ALPHA=ALPHA+SQRT(1.+ALPHA*ALPHA)
DUMMY1=(ALPHA**(1./REAL(LFILTERORDER(LCONTROL))))
DUMMY2=1./DUMMY1
R1N=(DUMMY1-DUMMY2)/2.
ROUT=(DUMMY1+DUMMY2)/2.
```

```
C generates the positive frequency part of the filter
```

```
DO I=1,NO1
  J=I-1
  R2=DELTAFFREQ*FLOAT(J)/CRITICALFREQ(LCONTROL)
  TRANSFERFUNC(I)=CMPLX(2./PASSBANDRIP)
  DO J=1,LFILTERORDER(LCONTROL)
    PHASE=REAL(LFILTERORDER(LCONTROL))-1+(2*J)*R3
    TRANSFERFUNC(I)=TRANSFERFUNC(I)/CMPLX(2.)/
    $CMPLX(-COS(PHASE)*R1N,-SIN(PHASE)*ROUT+R2)
  END DO
END DO
```

```
C computes the negative frequency part of the filter
```

```
DO I=NO2,NUMSAM*NBITS
  TRANSFERFUNC(I)=CONJG(TRANSFERFUNC(NUMSAM*NBITS+2-I))
END DO
```

```
C multiplies the frequency responses
```

```
DO I=1,NUMSAM*NBITS
  FFTOUT(I)=FFTOUT(I)*TRANSFERFUNC(I)
END DO
```

```
RETURN
END
```

```
=====
SUBROUTINE HARD_LIMITER
```

```
C this routine performs ideal hard limiting
```

```
COMPLEX SIGNAL(16384)
```

```
COMMON /C3/ NUMSAM
```

```
COMMON /C4/ NBITS
```

```
COMMON /C7/ SIGNAL
```

```
DO I=1,NUMSAM*NBITS
```

```
  SIGNAL(I)=SIGNAL(I)/CABS(SIGNAL(I))
```

```
END DO
```

```
RETURN
```

```
END
```

=====

```
SUBROUTINE CLIPPER
```

```
C this routine performs ideal clipping  
COMPLEX SIGNAL(16384)
```

```
COMMON /C3/ NUMSAM
```

```
COMMON /C4/ NBITS
```

```
COMMON /C7/ SIGNAL
```

```
DO I=1,NUMSAM*NBITS
```

```
IF(CABS(SIGNAL(I)).GT.SQRT(2.))
```

```
$SIGNAL(I)=SQRT(2.)*SIGNAL(I)/CABS(SIGNAL(I))
```

```
END DO
```

```
RETURN
```

```
END
```

---

```
SUBROUTINE CONST  
COMMON /C1/ PI,P12  
PI=3.14159265358979  
P12=2.0*PI  
RETURN  
END
```

```
C=====
SUBROUTINE INITIALIZE
C this routine initializes the variables
  INTEGER INPUTDATA(4096)
  COMPLEX SIGNAL(16384)
  INTEGER OUTPUTDATA(4096)
  DIMENSION TRANSFERFUNC(16384)
  COMPLEX FFTOUT(16384)
  REAL COLOREDNOISE3(128)

  COMMON /C2/ INPUTDATA
  COMMON /C3/ NUMSAM
  COMMON /C4/ NBITS
  COMMON /C7/ SIGNAL
  COMMON /C9/ GAUSSNOISE
  COMMON /C12/ OUTPUTDATA
  COMMON /C24/ TRANSFERFUNC
  COMMON /C29/ FFTOUT
  COMMON /C51/ COLOREDNOISE3
  COMMON /C62/ COLOREDNOISEPOWER
  COLOREDNOISEPOWER=1.
  DO 1=1,128
    COLOREDNOISE3(1)=1.
  END DO

  DO 100 1=1,NBITS
    INPUTDATA(1)=0
    OUTPUTDATA(1)=0
100  CONTINUE

  DO 110 1=1,NUMSAM*NBITS
    SIGNAL(1)=CMPLX(0.0,0.0)
    TRANSFERFUNC(1)=0.0
    FFTOUT(1)=CMPLX(0.0,0.0)
110  CONTINUE

  RETURN
  END
```

C=====

SUBROUTINE MLCS

C this routine generates the 4-ary symbol sequences from  
C binary symbol sequences

INTEGER INPUTDATA(4096)

COMMON /C2/ INPUTDATA

COMMON /C4/ NBITS

NBITS=NBITS\*2

DO J=NBITS,2\*NBITS-2

INPUTDATA(J)=INPUTDATA(J+1-NBITS)

END DO

INPUTDATA(2\*NBITS-1)=0

INPUTDATA(2\*NBITS)=0

RETURN

END

```
=====
SUBROUTINE BPSK_MODULATOR
```

```
C this routine generates the BPSK signal which is subject to
C various realization imperfections
C PHASEOFFSET represents a possible phase imbalance  minimum=0.
C                                                    maximum=1.
C AMPLITUDEOFFSET represents a possible amplitude imbalance
C                                                    minimum=0.
C                                                    maximum=1.
```

```
INTEGER INPUTDATA(4096)
COMPLEX SIGNAL(16384)
CHARACTER*1 CH
CHARACTER*1 PLOTCONTROL
```

```
COMMON /C1/ PI,PI2
COMMON /C2/ INPUTDATA
COMMON /C3/ NUMSAM
COMMON /C4/ NBITS
COMMON /C7/ SIGNAL
COMMON /C21/ BITLENGTH
COMMON /C30/ PLOTCONTROL
COMMON /C33/ PHASEOFFSET
COMMON /C34/ AMPLITUDEOFFSET
```

```
COMMON /C55/ SIGNALPOWER
SIGNALPOWER=0.
```

```
BITLENGTH=1.
```

```
K=1
```

```
DO 110 I=1,NBITS
```

```
  IF ( INPUTDATA(I).EQ.1 ) C=1.0
```

```
  IF ( INPUTDATA(I).EQ.0 ) C=-1.0
```

```
  DO 100 J=1,NUMSAM
```

```
    SIGNAL(K)=CMPLX(SQRT(2.0/BITLENGTH)*ABS(C+AMPLITUDEOFFSET))*
```

```
$CMPLX(COS((-PI/2.)+(1.-PHASEOFFSET)*(PI/2.)*C)
```

```
,$,SIN((-PI/2.)+(1.-PHASEOFFSET)*(PI/2.)*C))
```

```
    SIGNALPOWER=SIGNALPOWER+REAL(SIGNAL(K)*CONJG(SIGNAL(K)))
```

```
    K=K+1
```

```
100  CONTINUE
```

```
110  CONTINUE
```

```
RETURN
```

```
END
```

```

=====
      SUBROUTINE BPSK_C_DEMODULATOR
C this routine demodulates the BPSK signal with a filter matched to
C modulator filter
C the effect of AWGN is added analitically
C DEMOD_PHASEERROR represents a possible static phase error;minimum=0.
C                                                                    maximum=1.

      CHARACTER*1 CH

      COMPLEX SIGNAL(16384)
      INTEGER INPUTDATA(4096)
      INTEGER OUTPUTDATA(4096)
      CHARACTER*1 REPORTCONTROL
      REAL COLOREDNOISE3(128)

      COMMON /C1/ PI,P12
      COMMON /C2/ INPUTDATA
      COMMON /C3/ NUMSAM
      COMMON /C4/ NBITS
      COMMON /C7/ SIGNAL
      COMMON /C12/ OUTPUTDATA
      COMMON /C18/ ERRORRATIO
      COMMON /C21/ BITLENGTH
      COMMON /C40/KSNR
      COMMON /C55/ SIGNALPOWER
      COMMON /C57/ DEMOD_PHASEERROR
      COMMON /C61/ COLOREDNOISE3
      COMMON /C62/ COLOREDNOISEPOWER
      COMMON /C63/ IDETECTIONTYPE
      COMMON /C64/ EQUIVNOISEBANDW
      COMMON /C66/ ISYSTEMTYPE
      COMMON /C68/ ERROR

      K=1
      ERROR=0.
      DO 200 I=1,NBITS
        SUM=0.0

C the procedure when other detection filters are used
        IF(IDETECTIONTYPE.EQ.2)THEN
          SUM=REAL(SIGNAL(K+(NUMSAM/2)))
          ENERGY2=SUM*SUM
          Y=SQRT(ENERGY2/10**(-REAL(KSNR)/10.)/EQUIVNOISEBANDW)
          K=K+NUMSAM
          GOTO 160
        ENDIF

        DO 150 L=1,NUMSAM
          SUM=SUM+REAL(SIGNAL(K)*CMPLX(SQRT(2.0/BITLENGTH),0.))*
$CMPLX(COS(DEMOD_PHASEERROR*PI/2.))
$, -SIN(DEMOD_PHASEERROR*PI/2.))
          K=K+1
150      CONTINUE
          ENERGY2=(ABS(SUM)/REAL(NUMSAM))**2

C....Y=SQRT(ENERGY2*(1-RHO)/4*No)
          Y=SQRT(ENERGY2/2./COLOREDNOISEPOWER/10**(-REAL(KSNR)/10.))
160      Y=ERFCC(Y/SQRT(2.))/2.

C...included for nonlinear system
        IF(SUM*REAL(INPUTDATA(I)*2-1).LT.0.)THEN

```

```
    ERROR=ERROR+(1.-Y)
ELSE
    ERROR=ERROR+Y
ENDIF
```

200

```
CONTINUE
ERROR=ERROR/REAL(NBITS)

IF (ISYSTEMTYPE.NE.2) THEN
    WRITE(6,*) ' SNR=',KSNR,'    PB(ERR)=' ,ERROR
    WRITE(1,*) ' SNR=',KSNR,'    PB(ERR)=' ,ERROR
ENDIF

RETURN
END
```

```

=====
SUBROUTINE QPSK_MODULATOR
C this routine generates the QPSK signal which is subject to
C various realization imperfections
C PHASEOFFSET represents a possible phase imbalance  minimum=0.
C                                                    maximum=1.
C AMPLITUDEOFFSET represents a possible amplitude imbalance
C                                                    minimum=0.
C                                                    maximum=1.

INTEGER INPUTDATA(4096)
DIMENSION PNYQUIST(16384)
COMPLEX SIGNAL(16384)

COMMON /C1/ P1,P12
COMMON /C2/ INPUTDATA
COMMON /C3/ NUMSAM
COMMON /C4/ NBITS
COMMON /C7/ SIGNAL
COMMON /C21/ BITLENGTH
COMMON /C33/ PHASEOFFSET
COMMON /C34/ AMPLITUDEOFFSET

INTEGER IBIT,QBIT
REAL ITHETA
BITLENGTH=1.
K=1
T=0.0

DO 200 I=1,NBITS,2
C the in-phase bit is updated
  IBIT=INPUTDATA(I)*2-1
C the quadrature bit is updated
  QBIT=INPUTDATA(I+1)*2-1

  DO 190 M=1,2*NUMSAM
    SIGNAL(K)=ABS(REAL(IBIT)+AMPLITUDEOFFSET)*REAL(IBIT)*
$CMLX(COS(PHASEOFFSET*P1/4.),SIN(PHASEOFFSET*P1/4.))
    SIGNAL(K)=SIGNAL(K)+ABS(REAL(QBIT)-AMPLITUDEOFFSET)
$*REAL(QBIT)*CMLX(COS((-P1/2.)*(1.+(PHASEOFFSET/2.)))
$,SIN((-P1/2.)*(1.+(PHASEOFFSET/2.))))

    SIGNAL(K)=SIGNAL(K)*CMLX(SQRT(2.0/(2.0*BITLENGTH)))
    K=K+1
    T=T+SAMINT
190  CONTINUE
200  CONTINUE

RETURN
END

```

```

=====
      SUBROUTINE QPSK_C_DEMODULATOR
C this routine demodulates the BPSK signal with a filter matched to
C modulator filter
C the effect of AWGN is added analitically
C DEMOD_PHASEERROR represents a possible static phase error;minimum=0.
C                                                                maximum=1.

      COMPLEX SIGNAL(16384)
      INTEGER INPUTDATA(4096)
      INTEGER OUTPUTDATA(4096)
      REAL COLOREDNOISE3(128)

      COMMON /C1/ PI,P12
      COMMON /C2/ INPUTDATA
      COMMON /C3/ NUMSAM
      COMMON /C4/ NBITS
      COMMON /C7/ SIGNAL
      COMMON /C12/ OUTPUTDATA
      COMMON /C21/ BITLENGTH
      COMMON /C30/ PLOTCONTROL
      COMMON /C40/KSNR
      COMMON /C57/ DEMOD_PHASEERROR
      COMMON /C61/ COLOREDNOISE3
      COMMON /C62/ COLOREDNOISEPOWER
      COMMON /C66/ ISYSTEMTYPE
      COMMON /C68/ ERROR

      K=1
      ERROR=0.

      DO 700 I=1,NBITS,2
          SUM1=0.0
          SUM2=0.0

          DO 680 M=1,2*NUMSAM
              SUM1=SUM1+REAL(SIGNAL(K)*CMPLX(SQRT(1./BITLENGTH)))
              $*CMPLX(COS(DEMOD_PHASEERROR*PI/4.))
              $,-SIN(DEMOD_PHASEERROR*PI/4.))
              $*CMPLX(1.,0.))
              SUM2=SUM2+REAL(SIGNAL(K)*CMPLX(SQRT(1./BITLENGTH)))
              $*CMPLX(COS(DEMOD_PHASEERROR*PI/4.))
              $,-SIN(DEMOD_PHASEERROR*PI/4.))
              $*CMPLX(0.,1.))
              K=K+1
680          CONTINUE

C...decision for I channel
      ENERGY=(ABS(SUM1)/REAL(2*NUMSAM))**2
      Y=SQRT(ENERGY*2./COLOREDNOISEPOWER/10.**(-REAL(KSNR)/10.))
      Y=ERFCC(Y/SQRT(2.))/2.

C...included for nonlinear system
      IF(SUM1*REAL(INPUTDATA(I)*2-1).LT.0.)THEN
          ERROR=ERROR+(1.-Y)
      ELSE
          ERROR=ERROR+Y
      ENDIF

C...decision for Q channel
      ENERGY=(ABS(SUM2)/REAL(2*NUMSAM))**2
      Y=SQRT(ENERGY*2./COLOREDNOISEPOWER/10.**(-REAL(KSNR)/10.))
      Y=ERFCC(Y/SQRT(2.))/2.

```

C...included for nonlinear system

```
IF(SUM2*REAL(INPUTDATA(1+1)*2-1).LT.0.)THEN
  ERROR=ERROR+(1.-Y)
ELSE
  ERROR=ERROR+Y
ENDIF
```

700

```
CONTINUE
ERROR=ERROR/REAL(NBITS)
SERROR=(1.-ERROR)**2
SERROR=1.-SERROR
```

```
IF(1SYSTEMTYPE.NE.2)THEN
  WRITE(6,*)' SNR=',KSNR,' PB(ERR)=' ,ERROR
  WRITE(1,*)' SNR=',KSNR,' PB(ERR)=' ,ERROR
ENDIF
```

```
RETURN
END
```

```
=====
SUBROUTINE OKQPSK_MODULATOR
```

```
C this routine generates the OKQPSK signal
```

```
INTEGER INPUTDATA(4096)
COMPLEX SIGNAL(16384)
```

```
COMMON /C1/ PI,P12
COMMON /C2/ INPUTDATA
COMMON /C3/ NUMSAM
COMMON /C4/ NBITS
COMMON /C7/ SIGNAL
COMMON /C21/ BITLENGTH
```

```
REAL IBIT,QBIT
BITLENGTH=1.
K=1
```

```
QBIT=REAL(INPUTDATA(NBITS))*2-1)
```

```
DO I=1,NBITS,2
```

```
C the in-phase bit is updated
```

```
IBIT=REAL(INPUTDATA(I))*2-1)
```

```
DO M=1,NUMSAM
```

```
SIGNAL(K)=CMPLX(SQRT(2./2./BITLENGTH))*CMPLX(IBIT,-QBIT)
```

```
K=K+1
```

```
END DO
```

```
C the quadrature bit is updated
```

```
QBIT=INPUTDATA(I+1)*2-1
```

```
DO M=1,NUMSAM
```

```
SIGNAL(K)=CMPLX(SQRT(2./2./BITLENGTH))*CMPLX(IBIT,-QBIT)
```

```
K=K+1
```

```
END DO
```

```
END DO
RETURN
END
```

```
=====
SUBROUTINE OKQPSK_C_DEMODULATOR
```

```
C this routine demodulates the OKQPSK signal with a filter matched to
C modulator filter
C the effect of AWGN is added analitically
C DEMOD_PHASEERROR represents a possible static phase error;minimum=0.
C maximum=1.
```

```
COMPLEX SIGNAL(16384)
INTEGER INPUTDATA(4096)
INTEGER OUTPUTDATA(4096)
REAL COLOREDNOISE3(128)
```

```
COMMON /C1/ PI,PI2
COMMON /C2/ INPUTDATA
COMMON /C3/ NUMSAM
COMMON /C4/ NBITS
COMMON /C7/ SIGNAL
COMMON /C12/ OUTPUTDATA
COMMON /C21/ BITLENGTH
COMMON /C30/ PLOTCONTROL
COMMON /C40/KSNR
COMMON /C57/ DEMOD_PHASEERROR
COMMON /C61/ COLOREDNOISE3
COMMON /C62/ COLOREDNOISEPOWER
COMMON /C66/ ISYSTENTYPE
COMMON /C68/ ERROR
```

```
ERROR=0.
```

```
K=1
```

```
SUM2=0.
```

```
DO I=1,NBITS,2
```

```
    SUM1=0.
```

```
    DO N=1,NUMSAM
```

```
        SUM1=SUM1+REAL(SIGNAL(K))
```

```
        $*CMPLX(COS(DEMOD_PHASEERROR*PI/4.)
```

```
        $,-SIN(DEMOD_PHASEERROR*PI/4.))
```

```
        $*CMPLX(SQRT(2./2./BITLENGTH),0.))
```

```
        SUM2=SUM2+REAL(SIGNAL(K))
```

```
        $*CMPLX(COS(DEMOD_PHASEERROR*PI/4.)
```

```
        $,-SIN(DEMOD_PHASEERROR*PI/4.))
```

```
        $*CMPLX(0.,SQRT(2./2./BITLENGTH)))
```

```
        K=K+1
```

```
    END DO
```

```
    IF(I.NE.1)THEN
```

```
C...decision about SUM2
```

```
        ENERGY=(ABS(SUM2)/REAL(2*NUMSAM))**2
```

```
        Y=SQRT(ENERGY*2./COLOREDNOISEPOWER/10.**(-REAL(KSNR)/10.))
```

```
        Y=ERFCC(Y/SQRT(2.))/2.
```

```
C...included for nonlinear system
```

```
        IF(SUM2*REAL(INPUTDATA(I-1)*2-1).LT.0.)THEN
```

```
            ERROR=ERROR+(1.-Y)
```

```
        ELSE
```

```
            ERROR=ERROR+Y
```

```
        ENDIF
```

```
    ELSE
```

```
        SUM2LAST=SUM2
```

```
    ENDIF
```

```
SUM2=0.
```

```

DO M=1,NUMSAM
  SUM1=SUM1+REAL(SIGNAL(K))
  $*CMPLX(COS(DEMOD_PHASEERROR*PI/4.)
  $,-SIN(DEMOD_PHASEERROR*PI/4.))
  $*CMPLX(SQRT(2./2./BITLENGTH),0.))
  SUM2=SUM2+REAL(SIGNAL(K))
  $*CMPLX(COS(DEMOD_PHASEERROR*PI/4.)
  $,-SIN(DEMOD_PHASEERROR*PI/4.))
  $*CMPLX(0.,SQRT(2./2./BITLENGTH))
  K=K+1
END DO

```

```

C...decision about SUM1
  ENERGY=(ABS(SUM1)/REAL(2*NUMSAM))**2
  Y=SQRT(ENERGY*2./COLOREDNOISEPOWER/10.**(-REAL(KSNR)/10.))
  Y=ERFCC(Y/SQRT(2.))/2.

```

```

C...included for nonlinear system
  IF(SUM1*REAL(INPUTDATA(1)*2-1).LT.0.)THEN
    ERROR=ERROR+(1.-Y)
  ELSE
    ERROR=ERROR+Y
  ENDIF
END DO

```

```

SUM2=SUM2+SUM2LAST

```

```

C...decision about SUM2
  ENERGY=(ABS(SUM2)/REAL(2*NUMSAM))**2
  Y=SQRT(ENERGY*2./COLOREDNOISEPOWER/10.**(-REAL(KSNR)/10.))
  Y=ERFCC(Y/SQRT(2.))/2.

```

```

C...included for nonlinear system
  IF(SUM2*REAL(INPUTDATA(NBITS)*2-1).LT.0.)THEN
    ERROR=ERROR+(1.-Y)
  ELSE
    ERROR=ERROR+Y
  ENDIF

```

```

ERROR=ERROR/REAL(NBITS)
SERROR=(1.-ERROR)**2
SERROR=1.-SERROR

```

```

IF(ISYSTEMTYPE.NE.2)THEN
  WRITE(6,*)' SNR=',KSNR,' PB(ERR)=',ERROR
  WRITE(1,*)' SNR=',KSNR,' PB(ERR)=',ERROR
ENDIF

```

```

RETURN
END

```

```

=====
SUBROUTINE MSK_MODULATOR
C this routine generates the BPSK signal which is subject to
C various realization imperfections

INTEGER INPUTDATA(4096)
COMPLEX SIGNAL(16384)

COMMON /C1/ P1,P12
COMMON /C2/ INPUTDATA
COMMON /C3/ NUMSAM
COMMON /C4/ NBITS
COMMON /C7/ SIGNAL
COMMON /C20/ SAMINT
COMMON /C21/ BITLENGTH

REAL IBIT,QBIT,M1,M2

BITLENGTH=1.
K=1
QBIT=REAL(INPUTDATA(NBITS)*2-1)
DO I=1,NBITS,2

C the in-phase bit is updated
  IBIT=REAL(INPUTDATA(I)*2-1)
  DO M=1,NUMSAM
    M1=COS((P12/4./BITLENGTH)*REAL(M+MOD(I-1,2)*NUMSAM)*SAMINT)*IBIT
    M2=SIN((P12/4./BITLENGTH)*REAL(M+MOD(I,2)*NUMSAM)*SAMINT)*QBIT
    SIGNAL(K)=CMPLX(SQRT(2./BITLENGTH))*CMPLX(M1,-M2)
    K=K+1
  END DO

C the quadrature bit is updated
  QBIT=INPUTDATA(I+1)*2-1
  DO M=1,NUMSAM
    M1=COS((P12/4./BITLENGTH)*REAL(M+MOD(I,2)*NUMSAM)*SAMINT)*IBIT
    M2=SIN((P12/4./BITLENGTH)*REAL(M+MOD(I-1,2)*NUMSAM)*SAMINT)*QBIT
    SIGNAL(K)=CMPLX(SQRT(2./BITLENGTH))*CMPLX(M1,-M2)
    K=K+1
  END DO
END DO

RETURN
END

```

```
=====
SUBROUTINE MSK_C_DEMODULATOR
```

```
C this routine demodulates the BPSK signal with a filter matched to
C modulator filter
C the effect of AWGN is added analitically
C DEMOD_PHASEERROR represents a possible static phase error;minimum=0.
C                                     maximum=1.
```

```
COMPLEX SIGNAL(16384)
INTEGER INPUTDATA(4096)
INTEGER OUTPUTDATA(4096)
REAL COLOREDNOISE3(128)
```

```
COMMON /C1/ PI,P12
COMMON /C2/ INPUTDATA
COMMON /C3/ NUMSAM
COMMON /C4/ NBITS
COMMON /C7/ SIGNAL
COMMON /C12/ OUTPUTDATA
COMMON /C20/ SAMINT
COMMON /C21/ BITLENGTH
COMMON /C30/ PLOTCONTROL
COMMON /C40/KSNR
COMMON /C57/ DEMOD_PHASEERROR
COMMON /C61/ COLOREDNOISE3
COMMON /C62/ COLOREDNOISEPOWER
COMMON /C66/ ISYSTEMTYPE
COMMON /C68/ ERROR
```

```
REAL M1,M2
```

```
ERROR=0.
K=1
SUM2=0.
```

```
DO I=1,NBITS,2
```

```
    SUM1=0.
```

```
    DO M=1,NUMSAM
```

```
        M1=COS((PI/2./BITLENGTH)*REAL(M+MOD(I-1,2)*NUMSAM)*SAMINT)
```

```
        M2=SIN((PI/2./BITLENGTH)*REAL(M+MOD(I,2)*NUMSAM)*SAMINT)
```

```
        SUM1=SUM1+REAL(SIGNAL(K))
```

```
        $*CMPLX(COS(DEMOD_PHASEERROR*PI/4.))
```

```
        $,-SIN(DEMOD_PHASEERROR*PI/4.))
```

```
        $*CMPLX(SQRT(2./BITLENGTH)*M1,0.))
```

```
        SUM2=SUM2+REAL(SIGNAL(K))
```

```
        $*CMPLX(COS(DEMOD_PHASEERROR*PI/4.))
```

```
        $,-SIN(DEMOD_PHASEERROR*PI/4.))
```

```
        $*CMPLX(0.,SQRT(2./BITLENGTH)*M2))
```

```
        K=K+1
```

```
    END DO
```

```
    IF(I.NE.1)THEN
```

```
C...decision about SUM2
```

```
        ENERGY=(ABS(SUM2)/REAL(2*NUMSAM))**2
```

```
        Y=SQRT(ENERGY*2./COLOREDNOISEPOWER/10.**(-REAL(KSNR)/10.))
```

```
        Y=ERFCC(Y/SQRT(2.))/2.
```

```
C...included for nonlinear system
```

```
        IF(SUM2*REAL(INPUTDATA(I-1)*2-1).LT.0.)THEN
```

```
            ERROR=ERROR+(1.-Y)
```

```
        ELSE
```

```
            ERROR=ERROR+Y
```

```

ENDIF
ELSE
  SUM2LAST=SUM2
ENDIF

SUM2=0.

DO M=1,NUMSAM
M1=COS((PI/2/4./BITLENGTH)*REAL(M+MOD(1,2)*NUMSAM)*SAMINT)
M2=SIN((PI/2/4./BITLENGTH)*REAL(M+MOD(1-1,2)*NUMSAM)*SAMINT)
SUM1=SUM1+REAL(SIGNAL(K)
$*CPLX(COS(DEMOD_PHASEERROR*PI/4.)
$, -SIN(DEMOD_PHASEERROR*PI/4.))
$*CPLX(SQRT(2./BITLENGTH)*M1,0.))
SUM2=SUM2+REAL(SIGNAL(K)
$*CPLX(COS(DEMOD_PHASEERROR*PI/4.)
$, -SIN(DEMOD_PHASEERROR*PI/4.))
$*CPLX(0.,SQRT(2./BITLENGTH)*M2))
K=K+1
END DO

C...decision about SUM1
ENERGY=(ABS(SUM1)/REAL(2*NUMSAM))**2
Y=SQRT(ENERGY*2./COLOREDNOISEPOWER/10.**(-REAL(KSNR)/10.))
Y=ERFCC(Y/SQRT(2.))/2.

C...included for nonlinear system
IF(SUM1*REAL(INPUTDATA(1))*2-1).LT.0.)THEN
  ERROR=ERROR+(1.-Y)
ELSE
  ERROR=ERROR+Y
ENDIF

END DO

SUM2=SUM2+SUM2LAST

C...decision about SUM2
ENERGY=(ABS(SUM2)/REAL(2*NUMSAM))**2
Y=SQRT(ENERGY*2./COLOREDNOISEPOWER/10.**(-REAL(KSNR)/10.))
Y=ERFCC(Y/SQRT(2.))/2.

C...included for nonlinear system
IF(SUM2*REAL(INPUTDATA(NBITS))*2-1).LT.0.)THEN
  ERROR=ERROR+(1.-Y)
ELSE
  ERROR=ERROR+Y
ENDIF

ERROR=ERROR/REAL(NBITS)
SERROR=(1.-ERROR)**2
SERROR=1.-SERROR

IF(ISYSTEMTYPE.NE.2)THEN
  WRITE(6,*)' SNR=',KSNR,' PB(ERR)=' ,ERROR
  WRITE(1,*)' SNR=',KSNR,' PB(ERR)=' ,ERROR
ENDIF
RETURN
END

```

```
=====
SUBROUTINE FFT(U,INLENGTH,CONTROL)
```

```
C this routine performs Fourier transformation
C the length of the sequence is a power of 2 ; minimum= 32
C                                          maximum=16384
```

```
CHARACTER*1 CH
```

```
CHARACTER*1 PLOTCONTROL
```

```
COMPLEX FFTOUT(16384)
```

```
COMMON /C1/ P1,P12
```

```
COMMON /C3/ NUMSAM
```

```
COMMON /C4/ NBITS
```

```
COMMON /C20/ SAMINT
```

```
COMMON /C23/ SAMFREQ
```

```
COMMON /C29/ FFTOUT
```

```
COMMON /C30/ PLOTCONTROL
```

```
COMPLEX U,W,T,U(16384)
```

```
DIMENSION XRE(16384),XIM(16384),XMAG(16384)
```

```
M=0
```

```
IF ( NUMSAM*NBITS.EQ.32 ) M=5
```

```
IF ( NUMSAM*NBITS.EQ.64 ) M=6
```

```
IF ( NUMSAM*NBITS.EQ.128 ) M=7
```

```
IF ( NUMSAM*NBITS.EQ.256 ) M=8
```

```
IF ( NUMSAM*NBITS.EQ.512 ) M=9
```

```
IF ( NUMSAM*NBITS.EQ.1024 ) M=10
```

```
IF ( NUMSAM*NBITS.EQ.2048 ) M=11
```

```
IF ( NUMSAM*NBITS.EQ.4096 ) M=12
```

```
IF ( NUMSAM*NBITS.EQ.8192 ) M=13
```

```
IF ( NUMSAM*NBITS.EQ.16384 ) M=14
```

```
IF ( M.EQ.0 ) THEN
```

```
  PRINT*,' error in fft ... M=0'
```

```
  STOP
```

```
ENDIF
```

```
N=2**M
```

```
DO 100 I=1,N
```

```
  FFTOUT(I)=U(I)
```

```
CONTINUE
```

```
NU2=N/2
```

```
NM1=N-1
```

```
J=1
```

```
DO 8 I=1,NM1
```

```
  IF ( I.GE.J ) GOTO 5
```

```
  T=FFTOUT(J)
```

```
  FFTOUT(J)=FFTOUT(I)
```

```
  FFTOUT(I)=T
```

```
  K=NU2
```

```
  IF ( K.GE.J ) GOTO 7
```

```
  J=J-K
```

```
  K=K/2
```

```
  GOTO 6
```

```
  J=J+K
```

```
CONTINUE
```

```
DO 20 L=1,M
```

```
  LE=2**L
```

```
  LE1=LE/2
```

```
  U=(1.0,0.0)
```

```
  W=CMPLX(COS(PI/FLOAT(LE1)),-SIN(PI/FLOAT(LE1)))
```

100

5

6

7

8

```
DO 20 J=1,LE1  
DO 10 I=J,N,LE  
IP=I+LE1  
T=FFTOUT(IP)*U  
FFTOUT(IP)=FFTOUT(I)-T  
FFTOUT(I)=FFTOUT(I)+T
```

```
10 CONTINUE
```

```
U=U*W
```

```
20 CONTINUE
```

```
RETURN
```

```
END
```

```
=====
SUBROUTINE LINEAR_AMP_DIST
```

```
C generates the transfer function for
C linear amplitude distortion
```

```
COMPLEX TRANSFERFUNC(16384),ATTENUATION
COMPLEX FFTOUT(16384)
```

```
COMMON /C3/ NUMSAM
COMMON /C4/ NBITS
COMMON /C23/ SAMFREQ
COMMON /C29/ FFTOUT
COMMON /C38/ ALIN
```

```
NO1=NUMSAM*NBITS/2+1
NO2=NO1+1
AI=ALIN*SAMFREQ/FLOAT(NUMSAM*NBITS)
```

```
C the frequency response for positive frequencies is calculated
```

```
DO I=1,NO1
  AJ=REAL(I-1)*AI/20.
  TRANSFERFUNC(I)=CMPLX(10.**AJ)
END DO
```

```
C the frequency response for negative frequencies is calculated
```

```
DO I=NO2,NUMSAM*NBITS
  TRANSFERFUNC(I)=(CMPLX(1.)/TRANSFERFUNC(NUMSAM*NBITS+2-I))
END DO
```

```
C the signal is attenuated to prevent the amplification
```

```
C introduced by the linear amplitude distortion
ATTENUATION=CMPLX(10.**(-ALIN*SAMFREQ/2./20.))
```

```
DO I=1,NUMSAM*NBITS
  FFTOUT(I)=FFTOUT(I)*TRANSFERFUNC(I)/ATTENUATION
END DO
```

```
RETURN
END
```

```
=====
SUBROUTINE PARABOLIC_AMP_DIST
```

```
C generates the transfer function for
C parabolic amplitude distortion
```

```
COMPLEX TRANSFERFUNC(16384)
COMPLEX FFTOUT(16384)
```

```
COMMON /C3/ NUMSAM
COMMON /C4/ NBITS
COMMON /C23/ SAMFREQ
COMMON /C29/ FFTOUT
COMMON /C39/ APAR
```

```
NO1=NUMSAM*NBITS/2+1
NO2=NO1+1
A1=APAR*((SAMFREQ/FLOAT(NUMSAM*NBITS))**2.)
```

```
C the frequency response for positive frequencies is calculated
```

```
DO I=1,NO1
  AJ=(REAL(I-1)**2.)*A1/20.
  TRANSFERFUNC(I)=CMPLX(10.**AJ)
END DO
```

```
C the frequency response for negative frequencies is calculated
```

```
DO I=NO2,NUMSAM*NBITS
  TRANSFERFUNC(I)=TRANSFERFUNC(NUMSAM*NBITS+2-I)
END DO
```

```
C the frequency responses are multiplied
```

```
DO I=1,NUMSAM*NBITS
  FFTOUT(I)=FFTOUT(I)*TRANSFERFUNC(I)
END DO
```

```
RETURN
END
```

```
=====
SUBROUTINE RIPPLE_AMP_DIST_SIN
```

```
C generates the transfer function for
C ripple amplitude distortion type 1
```

```
COMPLEX TRANSFERFUNC(16384)
COMPLEX FFTOUT(16384)
```

```
COMMON /C1/ P1,P12
COMMON /C3/ NUMSAM
COMMON /C4/ NBITS
COMMON /C23/ SAMFREQ
COMMON /C29/ FFTOUT
COMMON /C80/ ARS,NSRIP
```

```
NO1=NUMSAM*NBITS/2+1
NO2=NO1+1
AJ=SAMFREQ/REAL(NUMSAM*NBITS)
C1=1./2./REAL(NSRIP)
```

```
C the frequency response for positive frequencies is calculated
```

```
DO I=1,NO1
  AZ=ARS*SIN(2.*PI*(REAL(I-1)*AJ)/C1)/20.
  TRANSFERFUNC(I)=CMPLX(10.**AZ)
END DO
```

```
C the frequency response for negative frequencies is calculated
```

```
DO I=NO2,NUMSAM*NBITS
  TRANSFERFUNC(I)=CMPLX(1.)/TRANSFERFUNC(NUMSAM*NBITS+2-I)
END DO
```

```
C the frequency responses are multiplied
```

```
DO I=1,NUMSAM*NBITS
  FFTOUT(I)=FFTOUT(I)*TRANSFERFUNC(I)
END DO
RETURN
END
```

```
=====
SUBROUTINE RIPPLE_AMP_DIST_COS
```

```
C generates the transfer function for
C ripple amplitude distortion type II
```

```
COMPLEX TRANSFERFUNC(16384)
COMPLEX FFTOUT(16384)
```

```
COMMON /C1/ P1,P12
COMMON /C3/ NUMSAM
COMMON /C4/ NBITS
COMMON /C23/ SAMFREQ
COMMON /C29/ FFTOUT
COMMON /C41/ ARC,NCRIP
```

```
NO1=NUMSAM*NBITS/2+1
NO2=NO1+1
AJ=SAMFREQ/REAL(NUMSAM*NBITS)
C1=1./2./REAL(NCRIP)
```

```
C the frequency response for positive frequencies is calculated
```

```
DO I=1,NO1
  AZ=ARC*COS(2.*PI*(REAL(I-1)*AJ)/C1)/20.
  TRANSFERFUNC(I)=CMPLX(10.**AZ)
END DO
```

```
C the frequency response for negative frequencies is calculated
```

```
DO I=NO2,NUMSAM*NBITS
  TRANSFERFUNC(I)=TRANSFERFUNC(NUMSAM*NBITS+2-I)
END DO
```

```
C the frequency responses are multiplied
```

```
DO I=1,NUMSAM*NBITS
  FFTOUT(I)=FFTOUT(I)*TRANSFERFUNC(I)
END DO
RETURN
END
```

```
=====
SUBROUTINE LINEAR_GROUP_DELAY
```

```
C generates the transfer function for
C linear group delay
```

```
COMPLEX TRANSFERFUNC(16384)
COMPLEX FFTOUT(16384)
```

```
COMMON /C1/ P1,P12
COMMON /C3/ NUMSAM
COMMON /C4/ NBITS
COMMON /C23/ SAMFREQ
COMMON /C29/ FFTOUT
COMMON /C42/ GLIN
```

```
NO1=NUMSAM*NBITS/2+1
NO2=NO1+1
```

```
C the frequency response for positive frequencies is calculated
```

```
DO I=1,NO1
  A1=FLOAT(I-1)*SAMFREQ/LOAT(NUMSAM*NBITS)
  PHI=P1*(GLIN/10.**3)*(A1**2)
  TRANSFERFUNC(I)=CMPLX(COS(PHI),-SIN(PHI))
END DO
```

```
C the frequency response for negative frequencies is calculated
```

```
DO I=NO2,NUMSAM*NBITS
  TRANSFERFUNC(I)=TRANSFERFUNC(NUMSAM*NBITS+2-I)
END DO
```

```
C the frequency responses are multiplied
```

```
DO I=1,NUMSAM*NBITS
  FFTOUT(I)=FFTOUT(I)*TRANSFERFUNC(I)
END DO
```

```
RETURN
END
```

```
=====
SUBROUTINE PARABOLIC_GROUP_DELAY
```

```
C generates the transfer function for
C parabolic group delay
```

```
COMPLEX TRANSFERFUNC(16384)
COMPLEX FFTOUT(16384)
```

```
COMMON /C1/ P1,P12
COMMON /C3/ NUMSAM
COMMON /C4/ NBITS
COMMON /C23/ SAMFREQ
COMMON /C29/ FFTOUT
COMMON /C43/ GPAR
```

```
NO1=NUMSAM*NBITS/2+1
NO2=NO1+1
```

```
C the frequency response for positive frequencies is calculated
```

```
DO I=1,NO1
  AI=FLOAT(I-1)*SAMFREQ/LOAT(NUMSAM*NBITS)
  PHI=2.*PI/3.*(GPAR/10.**3)*(AI**3)
  TRANSFERFUNC(I)=CMPLX(COS(PHI),-SIN(PHI))
END DO
```

```
C the frequency response for negative frequencies is calculated
```

```
DO I=NO2,NUMSAM*NBITS
  TRANSFERFUNC(I)=CONJG(TRANSFERFUNC(NUMSAM*NBITS+2-I))
END DO
```

```
C the frequency responses are multiplied
```

```
DO I=1,NUMSAM*NBITS
  FFTOUT(I)=FFTOUT(I)*TRANSFERFUNC(I)
END DO
```

```
RETURN
END
```

=====

SUBROUTINE RIPPLE\_GROUP\_DELAY\_SIN

C generates the transfer function for  
C ripple group delay type I

COMPLEX TRANSFERFUNC(16384)  
COMPLEX FFTOUT(16384)

COMMON /C1/ P1,P12  
COMMON /C3/ NUMSAM  
COMMON /C4/ NBITS  
COMMON /C23/ SAMFREQ  
COMMON /C29/ FFTOUT  
COMMON /C44/ GRS,NGSRIP

NO1=NUMSAM\*NBITS/2+1  
NO2=NO1+1  
AJ=SAMFREQ/REAL(NUMSAM\*NBITS)  
C1=1./2./REAL(NGSRIP)

C the frequency response for positive frequencies is calculated

```
DO I=1,NO1
  PHI=(GRS*C1/(10.**3))*SIN(2.*PI*FLOAT(I-1)*AJ/C1)
  TRANSFERFUNC(I)=CMPLX(COS(PHI),-SIN(PHI))
END DO
```

C the frequency response for negative frequencies is calculated

```
DO I=NO2,NUMSAM*NBITS
  TRANSFERFUNC(I)=CONJG(TRANSFERFUNC(NUMSAM*NBITS+2-I))
END DO
```

C the frequency responses are multiplied

```
DO I=1,NUMSAM*NBITS
  FFTOUT(I)=FFTOUT(I)*TRANSFERFUNC(I)
END DO
```

RETURN  
END

=====

SUBROUTINE RIPPLE\_GROUP\_DELAY\_COS

C generates the transfer function for  
C ripple group delay type II

COMPLEX TRANSFERFUNC(16384)  
COMPLEX FFTOUT(16384)

COMMON /C1/ P1,P12  
COMMON /C3/ NUMSAM  
COMMON /C4/ NBITS  
COMMON /C23/ SAMFREQ  
COMMON /C29/ FFTOUT  
COMMON /C45/ GRC,NGCRIP

NO1=NUMSAM\*NBITS/2+1  
NO2=NO1+1  
AJ=SAMFREQ/REAL(NUMSAM\*NBITS)  
C1=1./2./REAL(NGCRIP)

C the frequency response for positive frequencies is calculated

```
DO I=1,NO1
  PHI=(GRC*C1/(10.**3))*COS(2.*PI*FLOAT(I-1)*AJ/C1)
  TRANSFERFUNC(I)=CMPLX(COS(PHI),SIN(PHI))
END DO
```

C the frequency response for negative frequencies is calculated

```
DO I=NO2,NUMSAM*NBITS
  TRANSFERFUNC(I)=TRANSFERFUNC(NUMSAM*NBITS+2-I)
END DO
```

C the frequency responses are multiplied

```
DO I=1,NUMSAM*NBITS
  FFTOUT(I)=FFTOUT(I)*TRANSFERFUNC(I)
END DO
```

RETURN  
END

---

 SUBROUTINE PRBSGENERATOR

C generates the pseudo random bit sequence

```
CHARACTER*1 CH
INTEGER INPUTDATA(4096)
CHARACTER*1 PRINTCONTROL
CHARACTER*1 REPORTCONTROL
INTEGER REGISTER(24)
```

```
COMMON /C2/ INPUTDATA
COMMON /C4/ NBITS
COMMON /C13/ PRINTCONTROL
COMMON /C14/ REGISTER
COMMON /C15/ NREG
COMMON /C28/ REPORTCONTROL
COMMON /C46/ TAPPOSITION
COMMON /C47/ NTAP
```

```
INTEGER TAPPOSITION(4),NTAP,SUM
INTEGER SEQUENCELENGTH,SEQUENCE(16384)
INDEX=1
DO I=1,13
  INDEX=INDEX*2
  IF(INDEX.EQ.NBITS)NREG=I
END DO
REGISTER(1)=1
DO I=2,NREG
  REGISTER(I)=ABS(REGISTER(I-1)-1)
END DO
DO 40 I=1,4
  TAPPOSITION(I)=0
40 CONTINUE
```

C First tap is always connected.  
TAPPOSITION(1)=1

C Determine the new tap positions.  
IF ( NREG.EQ.2 ) THEN  
NTAP=2  
TAPPOSITION(2)=2  
GOTO 100  
ENDIF

```
IF ( NREG.EQ.3 ) THEN
  NTAP=2
  TAPPOSITION(2)=3
  GOTO 100
ENDIF
```

```
IF ( NREG.EQ.4 ) THEN
  NTAP=2
  TAPPOSITION(2)=4
  GOTO 100
ENDIF
```

```
IF ( NREG.EQ.5 ) THEN
  NTAP=2
  TAPPOSITION(2)=4
  GOTO 100
ENDIF
```

```

IF ( NREG.EQ.6 ) THEN
  NTAP=2
  TAPPOSITION(2)=6
  GOTO 100
ENDIF

```

```

IF ( NREG.EQ.7 ) THEN
  NTAP=2
  TAPPOSITION(2)=7
  GOTO 100
ENDIF

```

```

IF ( NREG.EQ.8 ) THEN
  NTAP=4
  TAPPOSITION(2)=5
  TAPPOSITION(3)=6
  TAPPOSITION(4)=7
  GOTO 100
ENDIF

```

```

IF ( NREG.EQ.9 ) THEN
  NTAP=2
  TAPPOSITION(2)=6
  GOTO 100
ENDIF

```

```

IF ( NREG.EQ.10 ) THEN
  NTAP=2
  TAPPOSITION(2)=8
  GOTO 100
ENDIF

```

```

IF ( NREG.EQ.11 ) THEN
  NTAP=2
  TAPPOSITION(2)=10
  GOTO 100
ENDIF

```

```

IF ( NREG.EQ.12 ) THEN
  NTAP=4
  TAPPOSITION(2)=7
  TAPPOSITION(3)=9
  TAPPOSITION(4)=12
  GOTO 100
ENDIF

```

```

IF ( NREG.EQ.13 ) THEN
  NTAP=4
  TAPPOSITION(2)=10
  TAPPOSITION(3)=11
  TAPPOSITION(4)=13
  GOTO 100
ENDIF

```

```

100 DO J=1,2**NREG-1
    INPUTDATA(J)=REGISTER(1)

```

```

    SUM=REGISTER(1)
    DO 120 I=2,NTAP

```

```

        IF ( SUM.EQ.REGISTER(TAPPOSITION(I)) ) GOTO 110
        SUM=1

```

```
          GOTO120
110      SUM=0
C  end {exor}
120      CONTINUE
C  end {sum of the taps}
        DO 130 I=1,NREG-1
          REGISTER(I)=REGISTER(I+1)
130      CONTINUE
        REGISTER(NREG)=SUM
      END DO
      INPUTDATA(2**NREG)=0
      RETURN
      END
```

```
C=====
      FUNCTION ERFCC(X)
C computes the complementary error function
C with Chebychev normalization

      Z=ABS(X)
      T=1./(1.+0.5*Z)
      ERFCC=T*EXP(-Z*Z-1.26551223+T*(1.00002368+T*(.37409196+
*      T*(.09678418+T*(-.18628806+T*(.27886807+T*(-1.13520398+
*      T*(1.48851587+T*(-.82215223+T*.17087277)))))))))
      IF (X.LT.0.) ERFCC=2.-ERFCC

      RETURN
      END
```

```
=====
SUBROUTINE TIME_BEGIN
CPU AND ELAPSED TIME COMPUTATION (together TIME_END)
PROGRAM
external lib$init_timer
external lib$get_vm
COMMON HANDLE

integer*4 dyn_array_adr
nlongwords = 50
handle = 0 !zaman bilgisi virtual bellekde saklanacak

CALL lib$get_vm(nlongwords*4,dyn_array_adr)!virtual bellek dizayni

CALL lib$init_timer(handle) !timer set edildi

RETURN
END
SUBROUTINE TIME_END
CPU AND ELAPSED TIME COMPUTATION (together TIME_BEGIN)
PROGRAM
external lib$show_timer
external lib$free_timer
COMMON HANDLE
CALL lib$show_timer(handle) !isin son durumu ekrana yazildi

CALL lib$free_timer(handle) !yeni is icin timer serbest birakildi
RETURN
END
```

**BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- [1] Marsan J.J., Benedetto S., Biglieri E., Castellani V., Elia M., Presti L., Pent M., "Digital Simulation of Communication Systems with TOPSIM III," IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, Vol SAC-2 No1, pp29-42, January 1984
- [2] Modestino J. W., Matis K.R., "Interactive Simulation of Digital Communication Systems," IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, Vol SAC-2 No1, pp51-76, January 1984
- [3] Proakis J.G., Digital Communications, Mc Graw-Hill Inc., 1983
- [4] Ziemer R.E., Peterson R.L., Digital Communications and Spread Spectrum Systems, MacMillan Publishing Company New York, 1985
- [5] Jones J.J., "Filter Distortion and Intersymbol Interference Effects on PSK signals," IEEE Transactions on Communication Technology, Vol Com-19 No2, pp120-132, April 1971
- [6] Celebiler M.I., Shimbo O., "The Probability of Error due to Intersymbol Interference and Gaussian Noise in Digital Communication Systems " IEEE Transactions on Communication Technology, Vol Com-19 No2, pp113-119, April 1971
- [7] Lugannani R., "Intersymbol Interference and Probability of Error in Digital Systems," IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, Vol IT-15, pp682-688, November 1969
- [8] Ho E.Y., Yeh Y.S., "A New Approach For Evaluating Error Probability in the Presence of Intersymbol Interference and Additive Gaussian Noise," Bell System Technical Journal, pp2249-2265, November 1970
- [9] Murty M. S., "CONSİM: A Software for the Computer Aided Design and Analysis of Communication Systems," Ph. D. Thesis, University of Ottawa, 1983

- [10] Sunde E.D., Communication Systems Engineering Theory, John Wiley & Sons Inc., pp265-307, 1969
- [11] Feher K., Digital Communications: Satellite/Earth Station Engineering, Prentice Hall Inc., 1983
- [12] Morais D.H., Sewerinson A., Feher K., "The Effects of Amplitude and Delay Slope Components of Frequency Selective Fading on QPSK, OK-QPSK and 8PSK Systems," IEEE Transactions on Communications, Vol COM-27 ,No 12, pp1849-1853, December 1979
- [13] Oppenheim A. V., Schafer R.W. Digital Signal Processing Prentice Hall Inc. , 1976
- [14] Jeruchim M.C., "A Survey of Interference Problems and Applications to Geostationary Satellite Networks," Proceedings of IEEE, Vol-65, No3, pp317-331, March 1977
- [15] Benedetto S. ,Biglieri E., Castellani V., " Combined Effects of Intersymbol Interference in M-ary CPSK Systems," IEEE Transactions on Communication Technology, Vol Com-21 No9, pp997-1008, September 1973
- [16] Shimbo O., Fang R., " Unified Analysis of a Class of Digital Systems in Additive Noise and Interference," IEEE Transactions on Communication Technology, Vol Com-21 No10, pp1075-1091, October 1973
- [17] Benedetto S., De Vincentiis G., Luvison A., "Error Probability in the Presence of Intersymbol Interference and Additive Noise for Multilevel Digital Signals," IEEE Transactions on Communication Technology, Vol Com-21 No3 , pp181-190, March 1973
- [18] Yao K., Tobin R.M., "Moment Space Upper and Lower Error Bounds for Digital Systems, with Intersymbol Interference," IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, Vol IT-22, pp65-74, January 1976
- [19] Jeruchim M.C., Blum R., Modelling Nonlinear Amplifiers for

Communication Simulation, pp1469-1472, 1989

- [20] Davisson L.D., Milstein L.B. , "On The Performance of Digital Communication Systems With Bandpass Limiters-Part I: One Link System," IEEE Transactions on Communication Technology, Vol Com-20 No5, pp972-976, October 1972
- [21] Bhargava V.K. , Haccoun D. , Matyas R. , Nuspi P. P. Digital Communications By Satellite, John Wiley & Sons, 1981
- [22] Benedetto S. , Biglieri E., Daffara R., "Modelling of Nonlinear Satellite Links ," IEEE Transactions on Aerospace and Electronic Systems- A Volterra Series Approach, Vol AES-15, No4, pp494-506, July 1979
- [23] Benedetto S. , Biglieri E., Castellani V., Digital Transmission Theory, Prentice Hall Inc., 1987
- [24] Jeruchim M.C., "Techniques for Bit Error Rate Estimation," IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, Vol SAC-2 No1, pp153-170, January 1984
- [25] Palmer L.C., "Computer Modelling and Simulation of Communications Satellite Channels," IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, Vol SAC-2 No1, pp89-102 , January 1984
- [26] Pinto E.L., Brandao J.C., "On the Efficient Use of Computer Simulated Digital Signals to Evaluate Performance Parameters," IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications, Vol SAC-6 No1, pp29-42, January 1988
- [27] Durukan E., "Computer Simulation of Digital Communication Systems," M.S. Thesis , Boğaziçi University, 1989
- [28] Prabhu V. K., "Some Considerations of Error Bounds in Digital Systems," Bell System Technical Journal, Vol-50, pp3127-3151, December 1971
- [29] Golub G.H., Welsh J.H., "Calculation of Gauss Quadrature Rules," Mathematical Computation, Vol-23, pp221-230, April 1969

- [30] Gautchi W., "On the Construction of Gaussian Quadrature Rules from Modified Moments," Mathematical Computation, Vol-24, pp245-260, April 1970